

VOL. VII, NO. 7

Word of God

OF THE WORLDWIDE CHURCH OF GOD

PASADENA CALIFORNIA

APRIL 9, 1979

Pasadena teams visit churches to answer members' questions

PASADENA - At Herbert W. Armstrong's request, groups of personnel from Pasadena are being sent to area churches to give brethren an opportunity to "ask anything they want to ask" about the Work and the current legal situation with the state of California, said Kevin Dean, public information officer for the Work.

"Mr. Armstrong wanted to have people's questions answered," Mr. Dean said, "and this really helps. Response has been tremendous . The brethren say it's just what is needed.

In the past four weeks, 17 men have traveled to more than 60 churches in the Work's Gulfstream II "to clear the air in an open forum," said Mr. Dean, "the type of thing Mr. (Stanley) Rader uses here " (Mr. [Stanley] Rader uses here." (Mr. Rader often holds forums in the Ambassador Auditorium, transcripts of which appear in the WN).

Roderick Meredith, head of Pastoral Administration, described the trips by these men as a strengthening and unifying exercise for God's Work, and said, "These are all men who are able to explain the real truth regarding the recent legal attacks on God's Church and are able to answer about these matters - in addition to giving a sermon."

Generally, the men leave Pasadena on a Friday and divide into

teams of two when they arrive at their assigned church area. The teams visit one church Sabbath morning and another in the afternoon, one man gives the sermon and the other answers questions before returning to Pasadena on the G-II Saturday eve-

ning. "By Mr. Armstrong allowing us to use the G-II it has saved thousands and thousands of dollars," Mr. Dean said. "And the majority of the men are paying for the motel and meals the selves because of the cash-flow situation.

Richard Ames, a pastor-rank min-ister and Ambassador College faculty member, has been on three of the four trips so far. Mr. Ames said the maxin mum number of people are reached by going to larger church areas where brethren from smaller churches can visit. However, the men have also visited some of the smaller churches. Mr. Ames said, "Unanimously,

the people have been very, very ap-preciative of the visits from headquarters, knowing headquarters cares ... People are enthusiastic and really appreciate the opportunity to ask questions about any aspect of the Work." In the Pastor's Report, Mr.

Meredith wrote, "The vast majority of the brethren have been enthusiastically appreciative of the opportunity to hear directly from Pasadena headquarters . . . and have some of their doubts and questions laid to rest

Following is a list of men who have already participated in at least

one of the trips: Mr. Ames, Wilbur Berg, Carn Catherwood, Arnold Clauson, Aaron Dean, Kevin Dean, Bob Fahey, Ellis LaRavia, Joe Katora.

Dennis Luker, Sherwin Mc-Michael, Raymond McNair, Leroy Neff, Richard Rice, Frank Schnee, Keith Walden and Robin Webber.



EN ROUTE - Headquarters' personnel aboard the Gulfstream II spend flight time preparing for their visits to area churches. [Photo by Arnold

Church fund-raising program involves local member projects

PASADENA — A special fund-raising program that will allow breth-ren in all church areas to be involved on a voluntary basis was announced by Roderick C. Meredith, director of the ministry worldwide, as a "spe-cial emergency crusade" to raise money to help the Work through its financial crisis.

This is one way the members can directly have a 'piece of the action' in serving God's Work at this time," Mr. Meredith wrote in the March 27 Pastor's Report, "and yet it will in-volve very little of their own personal money — merely time, effort and elbow grease."

Suggested ideas included painting and cleaning houses, washing cars, paper drives, baby-sitting, bake and yard sales, quilting bees, collecting scrap iron, cutting firewood, country fairs and bazaars.

Pastors are asked to get their members together, to organize different groups and get some projects under way quickly so money can "be sent to Tucson [Ariz.] at the ear-liest possible date" because of "the very tight financial situation we will be in for the next few months."

Richard Rice, director of the Mail Processing Center and originator of the idea for the project said:

'Our members have demonstrated great capacity and imagination in the - and raised a good amount of past money on their own - just through these types of projects . . ."

Mr. Rice continued: "Through the years, field ministers have found that our members truly enjoy pitching in and becoming actively and person-ally involved in Church projects to help the Work The present fi-nancial crisis in the Work is frustrating to many of our members. They earnestly desire to contribute financially, but just can't give what they would like to."

Mr. Rice explained how God provided a way for the physical Israelites to contribute in the construction of the temple:

God had given detailed instructions for the tabernacle and its furnishings (Exodus 25 to 30). Craftsmen had even been chosen to do the work

(Exodus 31:1-11). But God had not supplied the materials needed for construction. A definite need for a specific project at a specific time to accomplish a particular goal was es-tablished. In Exodus 35, God outlined a two-part plan to fulfill that need.

"1) God asked all who were of a willing heart to make direct contributions (Exodus 35:5-9). Herbert Armstrong has already asked God's people to contribute financially.

"2) God asked the people to donate their time in producing contribu-tions for the particular need (Exodus 35:10-19). They were to use their talents and abilities to produce what was needed for His work at that time. This did not require individuals taking money from their own pockets, but something they produced by working on specific projects.

"By means of Church-directed money-making projects, God's peo-ple can do the same thing at this time to help give the Work the positive financial help and boost it needs dur-ing this present crisis."

Tour scheduled to reach Malaysian 'PT' readers

BURLEIGH HEADS, Australia - Rod McQueen, pastor of the Bris-bane, Australia, East, West and South churches, and Chris Hunting, area coordinator for Asia, will tour East and West Malaysia following the Feast of Unleavened Bread, Mr. Hunting announced April 3.

Mr. McQueen will be in Kuala Lumpur and Kota Bahru, Malaysia, and Singapore for the Feast of Un-leavened Bread while Mr. Hunting visits members in Colombo, Sri Lanka, and Bombay, India.

After the Feast they will conduct a tour through major cities in West Malaysia and conduct Bible studies for Plain Truth subscribers in Penang, Taiping, Alor Star and Kota Bahru. "Those who wish to discuss baptism may do so," Mr. Hunting said

"For a couple of years many people in East and West Malaysia have requested counseling for baptism, and because of the lack of manpower it has been quite impossible to con-duct tours," Mr. Hunting said.

The East Malaysian tour and other parts of West Malaysia will consist of visiting the scattered members and counseling with those who have requested baptism," he said. They will not hold Bible studies in these areas, but they will visit the cities of Kota Kinabalu, Miri, Sibu and Kuching, according to Mr. Hunting

In the following months Bible studies for Plain Truth subscribers will be held in Honiara, Solomon Islands; Port Moresby and Papua, New Guinea; and Jakarta, Indonesi

"Possibly one or two Bible studies will be held in each of these cities this year," said Mr. Hunting. "However, if the response is high enough, regular Bible studies on an every-other-month basis will be scheduled.

Members spend week under nuclear threat



HARRISBURG, Pa. - Close to a million people have been living under the threat of nuclear cata trophe since the morning of March 28 when the first of many confusing and conflicting reports began on an acci-dent at the Three Mile Island nuclear power plant about 10 miles from downtown Harrisburg.

About 300 Church members meet regularly 12 miles northwest of the plant at Camp Hill, Pa., for church services every Sabbath. The Worldwide News contacted their pastor, James Rosenthal, by telephone March 30 to learn how he and his congregation were affected by the crisis.

AFFECTED AREA - Left: Map shows the location of the Three Mile nuclear power plant and surrounding area most critically affected by the accident March 28. (Artwork by Scott Ashlev]

"Fortunately not too many Church families live within the immediate danger area of five miles," Mr. Rosenthal said. "Most people are centered north of there or south toward Lancaster and York. However, downwind we have a line of brethren out through Hummelstown, Hershey, Lebanon, Pa., farther north and east. We're north and east too. That doesn't make us too happy.

Preparing for the worst

At the time Mr. Rosenthal and his family, who live 11 miles from the crippled plant, were preparing for the worst. He canceled church services that weekend and sent his wife Diar and their two sons, ages 11 and 4, to stay with relatives in Rochester, N.Y. He stayed behind at the home of church deacon Charles Gaugler in Selingsgrove, Pa., about 40 miles away

The accidental emission of the

radiation into the atmosphere surrounding Harrisburg was believed to have resulted from a breakdown, possibly due to human error, in the cooling system that keeps the nuclear reactor from overheating and erupt-ing. The accident was complicated by the development of a giant hydrogen bubble in the reactor building. Nuclear engineers subsequently managed to shrink the bubble that had blocked efforts to cool the reactor core, removing the danger of an explosion

Mr. Rosenthal reported that a women's club meeting took place in Middletown, Pa., two miles from the power plant site, the evening following the morning of the accident. 'Everyone was on their way when the more serious reports began to come out, and it was too late to do anything about it." Mr. Rosenthal learned later that several women did

(See MEMBERS, page 2)

Church must defend its rights

The following are excerpts from comments made by Ralph Helge, head of the Work's Legal Department at services in the Hall of Administration March 14

There is one thing that I really feel is difficult to convey. I can tell it from the questions that come up. And maybe I can best approach the prob-lem this way. We're talking about rights. What right does a person have? What duty? And it seems to be difficult, because so many times people say: "Well, why don't you just do this? What are you fighting

Before I went to law school, I spent quite a few years in prelegal. Then I spent quite a bit of time in law school. And I practiced for 20, 25 years or so. During all that time, during all my grade school we had courses on civics — operation of government, the Constitution and so on. So we take all of that and put it together, and I confess I never, never lly understood or appreciated the first 10 amendments to the Constitution until this event occurred.

You see, it's like somebody reading the Bible. They read the Bible and it's only words until they're con verted. Then they have a certain depth of understanding. It's like the Jews in Auschwitz. You can read the stories of the horrors they underwent, what they were subject to and so on, but you will never in your lifetime fully appreciate or understand what they went through.

Start with the Constitution. Why did these first 10 amendments come to pass? Because these people had dergone certain experiences, experiences they were subjected to over in England. They had established churches. They were estab lished, meaning they were owned by the state. The king was the head of the church. He taxed the people. That money, a portion of it, went into the church, paid the minister's salary and supported that church, the building That church taught what the king wanted it to teach. The people didn't like that. They said: "I hate to give money for that because I don't believe what you're teaching. You're teaching to keep Sunday. Now, I tell you, I have the Bible here, and I read it, and it just tells me I shouldn't do that '

The king said, in effect: "We don't care what you read. You will pay the tax, you see, and you will come to church, and you will not believe the way you're thinking!" And because of this, these people were oppressed. They were beaten and they were punished. So they left their homes, places

where they grew up and lived and walked down paths daily. Perhaps they left aged parents there. They left their friends.

They came here, and immediately they began to start churches. But do you know what happened? Because of the influence of the men in government, up came more estab-lished churches. It wasn't the Church of England, but still they were churches. And all of a sudden that particular colony, or that state, or subdivision of it that began to be honored began to tax the people the same way they did in England. And they said, "This is the way you're going to worship."

It's a natural proclivity in man, no doubt from Satan, to oppress immediately. Give any religion the power of the state, and that's what happens.

So here they are. Now they're under this burden in this country So with this experience i again ind, these men now have come to the Constitution. They'd just had this experience

about churches and about govern-ment ruling over you. So they said "Let's make certain that it doesn't happen again. If you're going to have nstitution, and we're going to put a co our backing behind it, you must write out clearly prohibitions on the federal government. We know what happens when they get power, and we want you to add on amendments that prevent them from hurting us." And one of the first ones they put in said: "No federal government establishment of You shall not prohibit the religion. free exercise of religion. You can't come in and say, You can't keep the Sabbath, etc." And they wrote these down. And the courts since then have interpreted these to mean that you can't even do that, which has a chill ing effect.

Now do we get this concept? Men lived and died to give us rights. These rights have no meaning to a person in a free country until they're taken from him. Only then do they have meaning. Only when the police grab you, push you around, beat you, take off your clothes to search you, looking for dope or something, do you begin to realize that these laws have meaning. As long as we have men ruling, I tell you, these rights are precious

With that background, the State is coming in, and what are they saying? "We have a theory of law. Our theory of law is that this is a charitable trust. Once we label it 'charitable trust,' you churches are now no longer under the First Amendment.'' What everybody died for, what everybody fought for, it's gone! You are now under the State of California. Because all the State has to do is come in and say: "We have heard. And because we have heard. we are coming into your Church. And we're not going to look at the problem. We're not going to say, 'What about jet aircraft? You bring out that file.' No, we're not going to do that. We are going to come in. We are going to confiscate every piece of property you own. And we are going to put a man in there of an alien faith. who believes just the opposite as you believe. And we're going to put him in charge. And he's going to hire and fire across the board as he sees fit, absolutely within his discretion. We're going to wipe out the hierar-chy of the Church. We're going to all them." fi

Now at this point you begin to see there's a grave transgression of these fundamental rights. You see, they're being transgressed. Now, we could say: "Go ahead. We'll fold, we'll buckle. We're going to step back You come in, you take over the entire records from A to Z. Flip through them page by page by page, because the Church is yours." So the concept is, why do we

fight? Why don't we just say: "Come on in [Attorney General George]. Deukmejian. Bring your men in." And we all step back. And we say: "Is there anything else you want? Anything else you want of these people's money here?" We promise that we're going to give our tithes right over here to the UCB [United California Bank]. Before we only had an obligation before God. Now we've got a legal obligation. Before we gave it to Mr. Armstrong as the steward of the money. Not any more. Now we give it to the receiver and to the people of the state of California And they are going to come in, and they are going to judge whether or not this money is being spent in accordance with corporate purposes

What are corporate purposes? The corporate purposes are to preach the Gospel of Jesus Christ this world as a witness. Now we are going to take a man of alien faith, who doesn't even believe in the New Testament who doesn't even believe in Jesus Christ. That man is going to judge whether or not this money is being spent for that purpose. How can he do it? He doesn't know what the Gospel is!

Remember, this judge called the traveling of Mr. Armstrong, Mr Rader and their entire staff as travel and entertainment expenses. He didn't call it preaching the Gospel.

Now what are you going to do? Are you going to say: "We are going to take all this history, and we're willing to rip it out of the Con stitution, the First Amendment, and let the man come in. We'll abandon every right that our forefathers fought and died for, everything they tried to protect us against, we are going to forfeit and lose."

As far as I'm concerned, I am never going to do that! What you're doing, and I keep trying to get across you don't wake up one morning and say: "I have no rights anymore They have me in shackles and man acles and are walking me off to a concentration camp." It doesn't happen overnight. It didn't happen in Germany overnight. It happened step by small, insidious, quiet step.

CO-WORKER/MEMBER LETTERS WANTED

Because of the need for old co-worker and member letters to be used in court hearings and for other urgent needs, we are running short of original copies.

Do you have any of these letters that you no longer need, dating from the early 1950s to the present?

The letters we need must be clean and unmarked. Good, clean copies of The Plain Truth, The Good News, Tomorrow's World and The Worldwide News are also needed (especially issues from the 1950s and 1960s).

Before shipping anything please write and let us know what you have available. Write to: Mail Processing Center, Periodi-cals Section, Box 111, Pasadena, Calif., 91123.

Do you get the concept now? Pretty soon it's religion. Pretty soon it's freedom of speech. Pretty soon it's all the other freedoms. It's the freedom that you have to be told what you're indicted for before they arrest you the indicted for before they are a you. These are all rights you have. So if you start to water one down, you water the second, you water the third.

I've changed right now from reading all the right-wing literature. Now I'm reading some of the literature where people rebelled against the State. They had some concepts that were correct.

We have to fear. And that's what the Bible tells us. Remember Saul? He [God] said: "You don't want God to rule over you? You want a man? Let me tell you what's going to hap-pen when you have a man rule over you." And He laid it out. You're going to have wars. You're going to have problems. You're going to have difficulties

I want God to rule over me And when man rules over me, as it's been said many, many times, government is a necessary evil. Not God's gov-

ernment, but man's government. That's where I get confused in my own mind. I say, that's wrong, that's really dissidents speaking. But, no, it's a difference. God's government, yes. But man's government, you'd better maintain your rights. Because the minute you start forfeiting them,

Members

(Continued from page 1)

hear the warnings in time and did not attend the meeting. "Of course, it's really fouled up

our Holy Day plans. Our plans were for the first day of Unleavened Bread to be observed in Middletown at the fire hall. We are going to move else-where for that, " Mr. Rosenthal said.

Brethren offer assistance

Mr. Rosenthal believed that all the brethren within a five-mile radius of the reactor had evacuated. "We've had several offers from churches around — especially to the east, Pittsburgh and Belle Vernon — to take people who want to move out," he hies

By the time the WN contacted Mr. Rosenthal again April 2, more than half the population in the immediate danger zone had evacuated. "They have been calling for volunteers for the Red Cross and for the county nursing home where one of our members has just been admitted," he said, because so many of the regular personnel had left the area.

"Ironically," he continued, "1 heard there were a few individuals alking around in a nearby neighborhood telling people there was an borhood telling people there was an evacuation coming, and when they came to a house where they was nody, they conveniently burgled it Mr. Rosenthal checked periodically on his own home and belongings and suffered no loss. He said there were only a few reports of looting overall, however

By April 4, Mr. Rosenthal was back in his home and planned for his

you'll forfeit everything, and you're going to become a slave. And that, to me, is why I counseled that we are going to fight and fight to the last ditch. As long as my counsel is wanted, as long as it's accepted, that's going to be my counsel.

I'm not saying we don't give up ome ground someplace. Yes, we give up ground. We'll compromise, but only where it's to our overall benefit. Never out of weakness. Never out of fear. When we compromise out of weakness or out of fear, we're not praying to God. We don't have God's Spirit, because God tells us we don't have to be afraid

Before I counseled from an academic standpoint about defending First Amendment rights. Now I do it because I've lived through a horror story, and I feel I have a deeper understanding than I've ever had in all my life, and probably a lot more than the vast majority of attorneys in this United States, because of what you've undergone. And you've undergone the same thing, so you understand it more.

So that's a response to the question as to why I feel we have to fight for our rights at this point. Not just ours-for every church and for every indi-vidual. Not only for you, but also for your parents, for your husband, for your wife, for your children and for their children.

wife and children to return by the weekend. He said thousands of people were returning to their homes and businesses now.

Mr. Rosenthal, after living in the area of what is being described as the worst commercial nuclear accident in history, summed up his report, "I think when we take the Passover and the Night to Be Much Observed next week, we can say with more appreci ation than ever before that the death angel has passed over and not come close to our door.

The Worldwide News CIRCULATION: 55,000

The Worldwide News is published blweekly, except during the Church's an-nual Fall Festival, by the Worldwide Church of God. Copyright © 1979 Worldwide Church of God. All rights reserved. Editor in chief; Herbert W. Armstrong

aging editor: Dexter H. Faulkner

Managing editor: Dexter H. Faukner Associate editors: Sholia Graham, Darnis R. Robartson; layout editor: Scott Ashiay; "Local Church News" editor: Vivian Rohe; composition: Kinoety McAnaly; circulation: Diane Derrick; ataff writer: James Capo; photography: Roland Rees NOTICE: The Worldwide News cannot be responsible for the return of unsolicited arti-cles and photographs. cles and pho cles and photographs. SUBSCRIPTIONS: Subscriptions are sent

SUBSCRIPTIONS: Subscriptions are sent automatically to the members of the Worldwide Church of God. Address all communications to The Worldwide News, Box 111, Pasadena, Calif., 91123. Addi-tional mailing offices: Box 44. Station A. Vancouver, B.C., V6C 2M2, Canada; Box 111, St. Abarna, Herts, AL2 226, England. Box 202, Burleigh Heads, Ousensland, 4220, Australia: Box 2709, Auckland 1, New Zealand. ADDRESE (VIANDES 11: C. chances of ADDRESE (VIANDES 11: C. chances of ADDRESS CHANGES: U.S. changes of ADDRESS CHANGES: U.S. changes of address are handled automatically with Plain Truth changes of address. Application to mail at aecond class postage rates is pending at Pasadena, Calif. Postmaster: Please send Form 3579 to: The Worldwide News. Box 111, Pasadena, Calif., 91123.

times each day motorists will gas up Sgt. Sidney Lyle is director of

rime prevention for the Odessa, Tex., police department. This article is printed in the general interest of our readers

By Sidney Lyle

ODESSA, Tex. - With all the talk about possible gasoline shortages this summer and the likelihood of gas rationing, we need to re member the lessons of the shortage of a few years ago.

As you may recall, there was a frenzied increase in the theft of gas. It was almost impossible to purchase locking gas caps. The demand for such devices far outstripped the supply

A dwindling supply of fuel, however, makes it mandatory that vehicle owners protect the gasoline in their cars and trucks from the midnight thief equipped with a five-gallon can in one hand and a short piece of rub ber hose in the other.

Of course, not all the gasoline theft is from individual vehicles. Many

Thefts follow gas crunch

at a station, then drive off without paying. This has particularly been the case since self-service stations have cropped up all across the nation

Whether the thief steals gas from an individual or from a gas station, there is one thing common to both offenses - if and when the offender is apprehended, rarely will the victim prosecute. Yet without prosecution there is no deterrence to stealing.

Don't wait until the shortages are upon us, do it now. It may become necessary to carry a gas can while on a trip, just in case the service stations up ahead are out of fuel. Purchase locking gas caps for all your vehi-cles. Don't let your gas tank fall below one-fourth full. Plan most of your driving on main highways and during daylight hours. Finally, if you somehow fall victim to a gas thief in spite of all you have done, assist the police in locating the culprit.

Whether you can survive this summer with enough fuel to get you where you are going depends upon how well you plan ahead.



Camp wants YOU

SEP deadline approaching

How many applicants will SEP accept this year?

"We have room for 366 per ses-sion, 732 all together. We have seven

girls' dorms and seven boys' dorms,

so we look for an equal ratio. Last year we rejected 200 applicants. Of course those will go on our priority list this year, and we'll try to pick them up first if we possibly can and

they reapply." What activities are offered at

SEP? "Waterskiing, archery, riflery, Waterskiing, swimming,

basketball, volleyball, swimming, canoeing and rock climbing are the

major ones. In addition to this we'll

most of the time."

PASADENA - The Church's Summer Educational Program, a camp located in northern Minnesota for youths ages 12 to 19, is now ac-cepting applications, announced YOU director Jim Thornhill,

In the following interview Mr. Thornhill outlines the attendance requirements and goals of SEP: Who can attend SEP?

"Applicants must be no younger than 12 by September, 1979, and must not have yet reached their 20th birthday.

When will SEP be in session this year?

"Applicants may choose one of two sessions. Each session lasts three weeks, with the first beginning June 19 and ending July 9. The second session begins July 12 and ends Aug.

Where is SEP?

"The camp is at Orr, Minn., 40 miles south of the Canadian border. It is 100 miles from Duluth and 40 miles from Hibbing, the nearest air terminal. The area is relatively flat terminal. The area is relatively that but heavily timbered and very lush in greenery. Lake Pelican, one of Minnesota's 10,000 lakes, borders the camp." What is the cost?

"The tuition charge for either ses-sion is \$300, which includes food, lodging, an accident-insurance policy, the use of equipment and SEP-issued clothing. Tuition is due and payable upon acceptance, and applicants or their parents may use Visa or Master Charge credit cards.

'That's extremely reasonable. You can't find a camp with the qual-ity that we have anyplace else in the country. Transportation to and from Orr is not included in the price and must be arranged by the applicant." Any chance of attending if one doesn't have the money?

"Yes, we have a scholarship fund that we hope to have again this year. In past years we have had money in that fund from which we were able to accept kids who were not able to pay their own way. This scholarship fund is primarily from Church brethren who see the need for a child going to summer camp. One person I know gave us tuition for two. She didn't care who they were; she just gave us a check and said, 'I want two kids to go.' We feel it is vitally important to get those kids there.

Are you accepting applications from countries other than the United States?

"Sure, anybody around the world, if they can afford to get there. In fact, we're working on possible ways of getting more international kids involved. Even though they have SEPs in their countries, we feel that Orr has certain advantages that they can't get because of their financial conditions

basis, and several Ambassador College representatives are hoped to make appearances and speak before the campers." Who administers the camp

"SEP is sponsored by YOU, the youth organization of the Worldwide Church of God. I serve as director of the camp and am assisted by the YOU staff and a host of Ambassador

College faculty and students. "Our counselors are the very best people that we can pick. They're to-tally youth oriented. They're totally dedicated to the Church and the col-

lege. "Their experience and abilities to bids we have transmit that to the kids we have found is tremendously successful." What's the weather like in Orr?

"The general temperature for the north area during the summer is 70 to

APPLICATION FOR

Summer Educational Program 300 W. GREEN ST., PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91123

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS:

HAVE YOUR PARENT OR GUARDIAN FILL IN THIS FORM COMPLETELY. ATTACH A RECENT PHOTOGRAPH OF YOURSELF. SEND THE COMPLETED APPLICATION TO THE ADDRESS NOTED ABOVE ALONG WITH A NON-REFUNDABLE FEE OF \$3 FOR PROCESSING.

UCANT'S LAST NAM ARENT'S/GUARDIAN'S NAME HOW MANY S.E.P. SESSIONS HAS APPLI-CANT ACTUALLY ATTENDED? HOW MANY S.E.P. SESSIONS HAS APPLI-CANT APPLIED FOR? DOES APPLICANT HAVE ANY PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HANDICAP THAT WOULD PREVENT VIGOROUS PHYS-ICAL ACTIVITY? YES WHAT GRADE WILL APPLICANT BE IN NEXT SEPTEMBER? PLEASE EXPLAN D NO INDICATE HOW TUITION WILL BE PAID: SESSION I CASH/CHECK/MONEY ORDER CHECK SESSION APPLICANT WANTS TO ATTEND SESSION II BANKAMERICARD/VISA EITHER SESSION MASTER CHARGE SPONSOR PLAN # AZATION OR INDIVIDUAL) WILL PAY THE T ONSOR'S NAM ATTACH A RECENT PHOTO OF APPLICANT CHURCH ASSISTANCE PLAN THIS PERSON IS ELIGIBLE F HERE PASTOR'S SIGNAT AMOUNT NEEDED: \$ SIGNATURES OF PARENTS/GUARDIANS MINISTERIAL APPROVAL: I have reviewed this application and recommend for acceptance



SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE - Canoeing in northern Minnesota rivers and cheerleading clinics conducted by Ambassador College students are two activities offered at SEP. [Photos by Jeanne Kloster]

72 degrees. It doesn't get excessively hot for any length of time. Evenings cool down and are very pleasant. The days are just right. Generally it will never get outside of light Wind-breaker weather. We expect to have our usual amount of rainfall, what with unpredictable Minnesota weather.

What will a camper get out of SEP?

"The learning experiences he gets as a result of being in a dormitory with 23 other kids of every variety of life-style, from every geographical location, the experience that he has, to cation, the experience that he has, the opportunity to live in that envi-ronment with those 23 different per-sonalities, learning to play together, grow together and to live together in that three-week time is invaluable when it comes to learning patience, learning how to have concern for

your neighbor. "It just follows many of the bibli-cal principles right down the line. That's the way it has been tailored, to help develop the character of a

ounger person in all aspects of giving, sharing, patience and all aspects of development that are pertinent to living.

How should one apply to SEP? "Those wishing to attend either session of SEP should complete an application (a form accompanies this article) and mail it, with any ques-tions, to YOU. Applications should be sent in as soon as possible because we make our decision as the applica-tions come in. We want to fill the camp as soon as possible in order to tailor the program to specific needs."

Applications and other correspon dence should be mailed to: YOU Summer Educational Program, 300 W. Green St., Pasadena, Calif., 91123. The department's telephone

91123. The department's felephone number is (213) 577-5720. Application forms have also ap-peared in the YOU newspaper, Now You Know, which is sent automstit-cally to YOU members. Church pas-church pastors have been supplied with applications as well.



be offering educational services dealing with the moral and social aspects of teenage life. Several guest speakers will be coming to Orr on a regular

in

COLE 79

MAJOR MASTERS PING PONG

STORY FOR YOUNG READERS By Shirley King Johnson

Spring is a wonderful time of year to visit Grandfather Wilson's farm, and Jim bounded out of the family car the moment his father braked to a stop in the driveway.

Grandmother Wilson came out to greet her loved ones, bestowing hugs and kisses all around.

Leaping out, Major stood beside the car, waving his tail and waiting until Grandmother was ready to notice him.

"And here's our doggie!" Grandmother leaned down and stroked his head with both hands. "How are you, Major?'

Woorrf!" He smiled and licked the back of her hand. Mr. and Mrs. Wilson went on into

the house with the luggage, but Jim and Susie stayed with Grandmother.

'Where's Grandfather?'

"Where's Grandfather?" Susie asked as she helped her grandmother pet Major.

"You would never guess! He's down near the alfalfa field at the edge of the timber, feeding some baby quail. "What's he feeding them for?"

Susie asked To keep them from starving.

They're just newly hatched, and their mother was killed." "Oh!" Susie's eyes grew very round

and worried. "How was she killed?" "It's one of those things that hap-

pens." replied Grandmother, smoothing Susie's hair back from her eyes. Jim nodded his head wisely. "Was she eaten?"

"No, just killed. Your grandfather found the body. Something had killed it and left it lie there without eating it." "Was it a coyote?" Jim asked.

"No, darling. We don't have coyotes. Your grandfather thinks it was Brown's big dog Winston. It's part Labrador, and it loves to hunt in and out of season. It kills just for the fun of it, I'm afraid."

Susie stopped patting Major. "I hope Major doesn't learn any bad tricks from him."

'That's been worrying me too. We'd better keep Major in our fencedin yard while you're here this weekend. Just so he won't wander down and bother the little things."

"But, Grandmother, he won't have any fun," protested Jim, his smile disappearing. "Now, Jim."

"Yes'um."

"It's only for a while, sweetie. The quail will soon be on their own, able to fly and take cover in the grass and timber. The next time you come, Major can run free again."

Jim brightened. "I'll see that he stays in the yard, don't worry.' 'Thank you, dear.

Garden of Eve

"Grandmother, what makes animals eat each other?" Susie asked as they opened the backyard gate and went on up the sidewalk.

"Have you heard your minister explain about Adam and Eve sinning, and God placing a curse on them and all creatures?'

They stopped to talk. Susie nodded r head. "Yes. I remember about the her head. Garden of Eve."

"Eden," corrected Jim quickly.

'Guess what, Grandmother?'' Susie sucked in an excited breath. "Our minister asked Daddy to give a sermonette. Did you know that Daddy knows God too?"

"Yes, precious, I know. But let me finish telling you about the animals. When God placed a curse on Adam and Eve, He put one on Satan, who was in the form of a snake. It had to go down on its belly to live. God said the snake was cursed above all animals. That means all the animals were in on the curse. From then on, they all began to have instincts to murder and devour and

compete, just like Satan." "I'm sorry," Susie said. She looked

down at Major sally. "It's going to be all right," Grand-mother added. "In the wonderful world tomorrow all the creatures will get back to normal. They'll live the right way, just as all humans will."

Jim and Susie nodded their heads solemnly, Jim said, "I see,"

"Thanks for 'splaining it," added Susie

At that moment Major gave a sudden sharp cry. A sleek Siamese cat had come around the corner of the house, and he lunged toward it.

Seeing Major, the cat leaped for an elm tree and scurried up the trunk, its claws scraping the bark as it climbed. It settled on a low limb and sat there,

glaring and spitting at Major. "Whose cat?" asked Jim, surprised to see it. "The neighbors have two new

der down here and look around. Its name is Ping Pong. It has a sister named Ping Ling.

Susie gave a little laugh and went over to the tree. "Here, kitty cat. Nice Ping Pong. Come play with me."

The cat scrambled down the tree and shot across the yard. It went under the fence and kept going in the direction of the barn.

"Better leave it alone, Sue," Jim said in his best "big brother" tone. "Okay?" He turned to Major. "That goes for you too, fella. Leave that cat alone

Sighing, Major sat down and tried to look harmless. If Jim only knew how much he hated the smell of cats. It would feel so good to give that one a toss and a good scare.

"Come on into the house, lambs," Grandmother said. "I know you're hungry after your trip. And your father and mother are going to help us make plans for the Holy Days. You don't want to miss out on that, do you?"

They went on in, and the back door closed.

Grandfather returns

Major found a comfortable spot in the shade and settled down for a little snooze. Then he heard footsteps.

Raising his head, he saw Grandfather Wilson walking up to the gate, a red plastic pail in one hand. "Wooorrf!" Major rushed to the

gate, tail waving. Grandfather set down the pail,

opened the gate, and Major leaped into his arms.

"Hello, there! How's my good, brave Major? Hey, hey, now, stop licking my face! That's enough of that."

He set Major down, still chuckling. Jim came out of the house with a sugar cookie in one hand. "Hi, Grand-

"Why, Jim! Look at how you've grown!" He hugged Jim to his heart. 'Do you want to see something really cute?

"Baby quail?"

"How'd you know? Did Jennie tell you already?" "Yes, sir. And I'd like to see them

right now." 'Let's go down there. Come on,

Major.

"No, he can't go. Grandmother said he can't. He might feel like killing them."

"Not if I tell him not to, he won't," Grandfather said with confidence, and he stroked Major's head.

They walked together down to the barn, crossed the feeding lot and strolled along the edge of the pasture.

The oaks and elms in the timber were soft colors of spring - from pastel greens to deeper shades - and beneath the trees the land lay an inch deep in a velvet carpet of pasture grass.

A meadowlark on a fence post called out a cheery greeting to the trio as they approached. High overhead a crow 'caw, cawed'' and flew down to the top of an oak where it folded its wings gracefully. The air was sweet and moist with smells of warm earth and sunny meadow

But Jim saw none of this. He scanned the row of dead grasses that had been

standing last fall along the fence and ditches

"Where's the nest, Grandfather?" "We're close. Be very quiet."

A soft breeze brought the scent of chicken feathers to Major's nose, and he knew where the nest was.

But an instant later, his nostrils gave a twitch and a terrible smell of cat made his lip curl. "Grrr-rrlll." He saw movement in the grass. The Siamese cat, Ping Pong, was in the ditch by the fence. It was slinking forward, stalking the quail nest.

Woooorrfff?" Major howled as he lunged forward. Could he stop the cat in time?

"Major!" shouted Jim. "No! Leave the quail alone! Stop!" "Stop it, Major!" shouted Grand-

father.

uail!'' moaned Jim in horror. 'Major!'' "Oh, he's going to kill all the quail!"

But Major's flying feet took him to the edge of the weeds just as Ping Pong pounced at the nest. His front paws walloped the cat in midair and flung it sideways against the fence. Scrambling to its feet, the cat arched up both paws as Major charged in.

Major felt a claw scrape his nose. "Wooorrff!" He rolled over on the cat, and it screamed in rage at him, pulled away and went scooting off into the timber.

Major picked himself up and sat quietly panting. He licked at his nose where blood dripped.

Major nets his reward

Jim bent over the quail's nest to admire the 10 little balls of fuzz. But Grandfather came over to Major. "Thanks, fella. Thanks a lot for scaring

off that cat." Drawing out a red bandana from an overall pocket, he wiped Major's nose tenderly. "How could I have doubted you for a minute? You were saving those quail, not harming them. And I mistrusted you."

Jim came over. "Major saved them from Ping Pong." "He sure did."

"Will the cat come back?" Grandfather chuckled, "Not after

the way it was bounced out of here. That cat will steer clear of this place for a while. And by that time our little birdies will be able to fly out of its reach.

"Major's nose is bleeding.

"Yes, it'll be sore for a while. But he'll be all right. I think I'd better get a beefsteak bone out of the freezer for him. I've been saving one, and this would be a good time to give it to him. It'll take his mind off his troubles. Won't it, fella?

"Wooorf!" Major smiled

The State VS. **Religious Freedom**

An Aide Memoire re State of California vs.Worldwide Church of God

PASADENA - The following report, dated March 31 and entitled "An Aide Memoire re State of California vs. Worldwide Church of God," is prepared under the auspices of the Emergency Committee for the Defense of Religious Freedom, an ad hoc voluntary association of lay members of the Worldwide Church of God in good standing. It is pub-lished in The Worldwide News as an official record of the events surng the Church's confrontation with the State of California.

INTRODUCTION

On 3 January, 1979, without prior notice or warning of any kind, an armed task force descended on the beadquarters complex of the Worldwide Church of God in Pasadena, California. It forcibly assaulted, seized possession and took over control of the Church and its affiliated organizatio ns, Ambassador College and organizations, Ambassador College and Ambassador International Cultural Foun-dation. The task force consisted of a Court-appointed Receiver, retired Judge Steven S. Weisman, representatives of the Attor-ney General of California and private atis of constraints of the second private and gether with State investigators and law enforcement officers. The property and assets of the Church and its felated organizations were summarily taken over: the offices and records were seized and their contents rifled; cartons and files of records were taken and carried off without receipt, inventory or accounting by pri-vate attorneys as well as public officials. The Church's administration was dis-The Church's administration was dis-placed. The Receiver and his deputies were heard by Church employees to ob-serve that the Church's founder and its temporal and cancer be defined as the second secon serve that the Church's tounder, and its temporal and pastoral head, Herbert W, Armstrong, "was out" along with his personal adviser and chief deputy, Stan-ley R. Rader, Mr. Rader's executive sec-retary was summarily fired and other per-sonnel were insulted, intimidated and formally advised that any resistance or formally advised that any resistance or disobedience would result in instant dismissal, if not contempt proceedings or

even jail. Acting pursuant to the supervisory powers co powers contained in an ex parte court order issued in secret, without notice or hearing, the Receiver took control of the hearing, the Receiver took control of the entire administration of the Church and its affiliated organizations. One of his first acti was to instruct United California Bank, with which the Church had a \$4 million line of credit, on which some \$1.3 million was owed, to stop pay-ment on all outstanding acheets

ment on all outstanding checks. As a consequence checks totaling approximately \$1 million, issued in pay-ment for items ranging from salaries and welfare benefits to television and ad and weltare benefits to felevision and ad-vertising media, were refused payment by the bank and returned. The bank also withdrew the Church's line of credit, de-clared a default on the loan, called it and paid itself by offsetting Church assets on deposit. The Receiver by this single stroke completely destroyed a hitherto impeccable credit rating, which the Church had labored years to build.

Locks were changed on the executive offices of the Church, and Church offi offices of the Church, and Church offi-cials were excluded from their offices. The Church's publishing facilities were seized; contact between the chief pastor and the Church membership was choked off. Communications were screened and impounded to the extent that they con-ting of the screened with the Beneiro impounded to the extent that they con-tained language of which the Receiver disapproved. Using a confidential list, taken from confiscated records, the Re-ceiver distributed a Mailgram to the minis-try around the world, instructing Church ministers to advise their congregations that they were forbidden to send their tithes or voluntary Church offerings to anyone other than the Church's Courtappointed Receiver in Pasadenal

appointed Receiver in Pasadena! The foregoing events occurred neither in Hitler's Germany, nor yet in Stalin's Rus-sia, nor even in Europe during the religious wars of the Middle Ages. They occurred in 1979, in the United States of America. How and why did they happen? How, in this country, were such things permitted to happen? To answer those questions, a little background is necessary.

THE CHURCH (a) Doctrine

The Worldwide Church of God was founded by Herbert W. Armstrong some 46 years ago (originally as the Radio Church of God). It is a Christian church based upon fundamental teachings re-vealed in both the New and the Old Tes-tament of "the Bible. tament of the Bible. As matters of doctrine, its members believe, for example, in the Virgin Birth of Jesus Christ; that He lived a sinless life; that He was crucified and rose thereafter and that the sins of and rose to create and that uses in our those who repent are remitted through this blood; that salvation may be obtained only through His name; that He is the one and only Messiah and that His second return is imminent. Several beliefs stemming from Old Testament teachings give the Church's describe a cardin efficient. to the Church's doctrine a certain affinity with the Judaic faith, such as keeping of the Saturday Sabbath and observation of Passover and the Day of Atonement as annual Holy Days. The Church's primary mission is "to spread the Gospel of the coming Kingdom of God to all nations of e its foundir

Since its founding, the Church has flourished and grown to the point where it now has approximately 100,000 members worldwide (including baptized members and their dependent children). Of these and their dependent children). Of these, only about 10 percent reside in California. Herbert W. Armstrong has been the Church's spiritual and temporal leader Church's spiritual and temporal leader since its very beginning, and in Church theology is the appointed apostle of Jesus Christ on earth, charged with the respon-sibility of fulfilling the Church's primary mission of spreading. His Gospel through-out the world out the worl

(b) The Church's Work

The Church does not solicit funds fro the public. Its members, however, tithe voluntarily and, in addition, make other voluntary contributions from time to voluntary contributions from time to time. The Church also receives signif-kant financial support from an even great-er number of nonmembers, generally re-ferred to as co-workers (whose numbers are well in excess of 100,000). In the last 20 years, contribution's and tithings have 50 years, contacting and many finances in the risen from \$800,000 to a level exceeding \$70 million annually. These funds, in turn, the Church spends in the furtherance of the Work and the fulfillment of its

mission, which include the following: (1) Worldwide travels by Mr. Arm-strong, his personal adviser Stanley Rader and others for the purpose of meeting and conferring with heads of state and other dignitaries, speaking to millions of people ough electronic and print media and

through electronic and print media and otherwise carrying out the Church's primary mission of "spreading the Gos-pel to all nations." This is a key activity. In the last 10 years, for example, Mr. Armstrong and Mr. Reder have averaged more than 200 travel days per year. (2) The publication and distribution of periodicals such as Quest magazine, The Plain Trath, The Worldwide News and The Good News, together with numerous books, many published by the Church-

books, many published by the Church-owned Gateway Publishing, Inc.

(3) Extensive television and radio (3) Extensive relevision and radio broadcasting for the purpose of spreading the Gospel, for which the Church spends approximately \$5 million annually.

approximately 35 million annually. (4) The support and operation of Am-bassador College, an institution located at the Church's headquarters complex in Pasadena, which primarily trains students for the work of the ministry of the Church and also educates them in other areas. The college was originally founded as a semi-nary only, but was later expanded to in-clude a liberal arts curriculum. At the peak of this expansion there was also a peak of this expansion here was also a branch campus located at Big Sandy, Texas, and one in England. In early 1978 the Church decided to get out of the "col-lege business," which was causing a heavy financial burden. The liberal arts curriculum was phased out and the col-lege reduced to its original scope as a seminary. As a result the two branch loca-tions became surplus. (5) The production and presentation of

concerts, opera, theater and other cultural activities and presentations, funded by the Church and conducted through the vehi-cle of Ambassador International Cultural ation.

(6) Numerous other charitable, educa-

(b) rounerous other charasole, couca-tional, scientific and religious projects of which the following are representative, but by no means exhaustive: (a) Archaeological excavations in Is-rael (including sites at the temple mount and Jewish quarter in Jerusalem) and in Iraq (at Babylon);

(b) Benefit funds for handicapped chilren in England and Monaco; (c) Clinic for the underprivileged in dr

Cairo; (d) Institute for political research in

Tokyo, Japan; (e) An archaeological exhibit in

(f) Leopold III Foundation anropological exhibitions; (g) Nepal mountain tribe education

(h) Society for Near Eastern studies in

Tokyo, Japan; (i) Thailand mountain tribe education

prog (j) University of Brussels, oceano-

aphic research; (k) University of the Ryukyus, exchange program (Japan); (1) World Wildlife Association, Swit-

zerland. While California's Attorney General

While California's Attorney General may not be aware of these humanitarian activities, they have received widespread recognition in the form of commendations and awards to the Church from heads of state and the leaders of governments throughout the world, including Belgium, throughout the world, including Belgium, Sri Lanka, Egypt, India, Israel, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lebanon, Monaco, the Netherlands, the Philip-pines, Thailand, Hong Kong, Iran, Costa Rica, Tanzania, South Africa, Spain, the Behaviora end Journice Rah nas and Jamaica.

From the foregoing, it will be apparent that use of the word "Ambassador" in the name of the college and the cultural foundation is one of key significance, since it symbolizes the method by which the Chur.h seeks to fulfill its Work and its minute minute moduluits. nary mission worldwide

(c) Organization

The internal organization of the Church is hierarchical in form, rather than congregational. In this respect its polity is comparable to that of the Roman Catholic, Greek Orthodox and Russian Catholic, Greek Orthodox and Russian Orthodox churches. In other words, au-thority proceeds from the top down in temporal as well as ecclesiastical matters. Mr. Armstrong appoints the members of the Church's board of directors and is the Church's board of directors and is the temporal and pastoral head of its affairs. In this respect, his position and authority

are comparable to those of the pope. The board of directors is the equivalent of the papal curia.

(d) Mr. Rader

Mr. Armstrong's personal adviser, Stanley R. Rader, is a lawyer and certified public accountant who has been involved with the Church for approximately 20 years, Prior to 1975, Mr. Rader was an outside professional consultant and was an neither a Church member nor an officer or director of the Church. Mr. Armstrong believes that Mr. Rader has been instru mental in building and securing the Church's strong and stable financial base, thereby enabling it more effectively to carry out its Work.

In 1975 Mr. Rader became a baptized member of the Church and, at the same time, an officer and director. At that time, he resigned his membership and relin ing fir whi uished all interest in his law and account firms, as well as other entities in ich he had formerly had an interest.

(e) Administration, Finance

The Church and its related organizations have a modern accounting system that would do credit to a major business concern. All of its financial records are on concern. All of its financial records are on computer tage. Its data processing is one of the most modern of its type on the West Coast, according to the Receiver's au-ditors, Pear, Marwick & Mitchell. This complex is located in a high-security building an else Benedane sensus about 8 building on the Pasadena campus about a quarter of a mile from the Administration duilter of a line from the Administration building. Parenthetically, neither Mr. Armstrong nor Mr. Rader has ever set foot in this building.

The accounting system has both inter-nal and external controls. (The effective-ness of these controls was recently dem-onstrated when they signaled and identified a major defalcation by one of the Church's officers in 1978. The Church promptly took corrective action: The mis-appropriation was exposed, and a sub-stantial portion of it recovered. This, in turn, was reported to the membership in the Pastor's Report for 19 December, 1978.)

The Church and the college have been audited annually since 1956. The cultural foundation, which was organized about 1975, was first audited for the year 1977. These examinations, through the year 1977, have been conducted by the CPA firm now known as Rader, Cornwall, Kessler & Palazzo and have all been con Kessler & Palazzo and have all been con-ducted in accordance with professional, generally accepted accounting standards and auditing procedures, consistently ap-plied. As noted, Mr. Rader has-had no interest in this firm since he resigned prior to becoming a member and officer of the Church

The annual audited financial statements have been regularly given appro ments nave ocen regularly given appro-priate distribution to support the exten-sion of various lines of credit to the Church, including the \$4 million line of credit with United California Bank. In addition, periodic financial statements and expense reports were specially prepared for distribution to the Church's

The cultural foundation, first organized in Fortunation, this organized in 1975, annually files a detailed financial report with the Attorney General on a prescribed form. Commencing in 1977, this has been certified by the foundation's auditing firm. The college also files an information return with the Franchise Tax Board annually as does the Church. The information contained in these filings is a

of public record. Church and its related organiza tions recently retained the national accounting firm of Arthur Andersen & Co. to conduct the audit of all three or-

ganizations for the year 1978. As an integanzatom for this examination, Arthur An-dersen will verify the integrity of the ear-lier accountings. While it denies that churches are under any obligation to render accountings to the State, the Church has nevertheless formally offered, on a voluntary basis, to make the results of this audit available to the Attorney General.

(f) IRS Audits

(1) InS Addits The Internal Revenue Service con-ducted audits at the college for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. In 1975 it com-menced a TCMP (Taxpayers Compliance Measurement Program) examination for the year 1974. This is a very detailed "fine tooth comb" procedure that re-quired, in this instance, 18 months to complete and included an examination of financial measure for 1076 and a montion of fina ncial records for 1975 and a portion of 1976, extending through the completion of the audit in late summer of that year. In the course of this procedure, the indi-vidual returns of Church officers, including Mr. Rader's, were also examined by the IRS. Each of these IRS examinations found no discrepancies and resulted in the issuance of "no change" letters, thus, in effect, certifying the adequacy of the financial and accounting systems and the financial integrity of the organizations as whole

These examinations were made on a voluntary basis, with the consent and complete cooperation of Church and col-lege officials. The purpose was to verify the application of funds to proper religious and education purposes (i.e., non-personal uses) consistent with the bases for the granting of tax exemptions.

(g) The Church in Pasadena

The Church, as a rule, believes in puting its money in the Work rather than investing in monuments and edifices. As a consequence, its congregations usually meet in rented or leased halls or buildings, a fact that explains, perhaps, its rather low visibility outside of Pasadena. The notable exception to this rule is the Pasadena complex. In this instance, the Church, in a sort of a private urban renewal program, converted what had be-come a rather run-down section of the city into a showplace. The 1,250-seat sanc-tuary, Ambassador Auditorium, is one of the finest (and most beautiful) in the coun-try, and the foundation's musical, ballet. theater and other presentations have made it into a major performing arts center. Ambassador's concert series presents

Ambasador's copert series presents classical music, jazz, folk music, drama and opera. Highlights for a recent season included Matislav Rostropovich, Bev-erly Sills, Lazar Berman, Claudio Arrau, the Virtuosi di Roma and the Rome Piccolo Opera, the Philadelphis Orchestra, the Utah Symphony, the Tokyo Symphony, the Polish National Orchestra. The resident orchestra is the famed Los Angeles Chamber Orchestra. A concert by Giulini and the Vienna Symphony imagurated the hall; Pavaroti Symphony insugurated the hall; Pavarotti performs annually, the Vladimir Horowitz ended a 30-year exile from the West Coast concert stage at Ambassador

Among other pastoral and educational activities carried on is a large publishing operation, which prepares and distributes the Church's various publications to all parts of the world. The Church/ college/foundation complex is Pasa-denia's second largest employer (after the Ralph M. Parsons Co.) and is also one of its largest taxpayers.

Until the events set in motion by the Attorney General's lawsuit and armed raid, the Church, together with its related (Continued next page)

(Continued from preceding page) institutions, was a healthy, thriving or ganization. It was financially sound and growing. It had been a good neighbor to the Pasadena community where it or to cated and a beacon of faith to its members world

ш EVENTS OF THE RECEIVERSHIP (a) The Strike

The Receiver's arrival at the Church's beadquarters on 3 January, 1979, had all headquarters on 5 January, 1979, nad an the earmarks of a military operation com-plete with storm troopers. Armed officers who accompanied the strike force had been instructed by the Receiver to "use all force necessary."

A Receiver is supposed to be a neutral party appointed by the Court, who, as the Court's representative, does not become involved in the partisan aspects of litiga-

In this case, however, it was impossi ble to distinguish between the Receiver's representatives, those of the Attorney General and those representing the private interests of the former Church members whose formal complaints initiated the lawsuit (the "relators"). All seemingly had a common purpose and all shared the same partisan, witch-hunting zeal. In-deed, one of the Receiver's first acts was to appoint brothers Hillel and Rafael Chodos and their associate Hugh John Gibson (all of whom were attorneys for the relators) as Deputy Receivers. (When, in the course of a hearing on 5 January, Judge Vernon Foster questioned the pro priety of this action, Deputy Atto General Lawrence Tapper promptly dep-utized them as Deputy Attorneys General on behalf of the State.)

The Receiver's party had apparently prepared a "hit list" in advance, since major personnel changes were ordered promptly following the Receiver's tumulous entry into the executive offices. Mr Rader's personal secretary was summa-rily terminated. Despite his later denials, several employee-witnesses heard him announce at the same time that Mr. Rader and Mr. Armstrong were also "out " By the Receiver's own admission, all per-sonnel were given one week to declare their loyalty and were curtly advised that anyone who remained loyal to the incumbent administration would be fired.

bent administration would be fired. Church employees were insulted and physically inlimidated. One pregnant woman was pointedly reminded that an officer, who was demanding ber cooper-tion, had a gun and would use it. A party headed by C. Wayne Cole was dispatched with the Receiver's blessing to Tucson armed with a prepared press re-lease appointing Cole chief executive of-ficer of the Church. Cole was the Director ficer of the Church. Cole was the Director of Pastoral Administration for the Church. Arriving in Tucson late in the evening of the 3rd, he awakened the elder Armstrong, who was in bed with a tem-perature and was aware of nothing that had transpired in Pasadena. Cole advised him any phat the Attorney General wised the conduct an examination of charges that gross improprieties had been committed by the Church administration and that neone was needed to deal with the Atsomeone was needed to deal with the At-torney General's representatives, on be-half of the Church. Cole concealed from Mr. Armstrong the fact that a Receiver had been appointed, that be had taken possession and control of the Church's headquarters, that he claimed the power to fire anyone and had purported to exer-cise this power by deposing Mr. Arm-strong himself and Mr. Rader. Not really understanding or appreciating what had occurred, Mr. Armstrong, in response to Occurred, Mr. Armstrong, in response to Cole's urgent importuning, signed the press release, and Cole returned trium-phantly to Pasadena. A few hours later, when Mr. Arm-strong was fully apprised of all the facts,

strong was rolly apprised of all the tacks, he promptly and publicly repudiated the statement, reconfirmed the authority of the incumbent administration, including that of his personal adviser Stanley Rader, and, because of Cole's dissembling, dis-follower/based dia. and, because or Core 5 assembling, dis-fellowshipped (i.e., excommunicated) him and replaced him, as Director of Pas-toral Administration, with Roderick C. Meredith. One of the Attorney General's informants later stated that Cole had had extensive communications with the com-planting requirement for the complainant group and the Attorney General's

plannan group and the Attorney General's office prior to the filing of the complaint. While the Receiver later denied having attempted to oust Mr. Armstrong, his de-nial doesn't jibe with his recorded state-ment, on 4 January, 1979, that regardless of what Mr. Armstrong said or ordered, he, the Receiver, had designated Cole as chief executive officer, and that whis that. The mentality that informed and motivated all this activity was a seeming predisposition to believe the worst, with our motivation and and and and and the set of the sector.

out substantial evidence and even in the

face of contrary facts. For example, with no factual basis, Deputy Attorney Gen-eral Tapper stated to a gathering of Church and State officials that Ralph Church and State officials that Ralph Helge, the Church's secretary, its coursel and a director of the Church, had taken a \$125,000 ''kickback'' from proceeds de-posited by the buyer in the Big Sandy sale. This was a completely false state-ment, and the Receiver's coursel so ver-ified some time later in a formal letter to Helge's law associate.

Church officials reacted to the first on slaught with stunned disbelief and natu-rally sought advice from their attorneys before taking any action. This conduct was later stigmatized by the Receiver as resistence, obstruction and lack of coop-eration and characterized to the Court as being suggestive of evasion or "cover up

(b) The Takeover

Entry to the Church's offices having been gained, various records and files. confidential or no, were rifled, gathered up and carried off with neither inventory nor accounting. Many are still missing, and the State has consistently refused to give any accounting as to what was taken, despite repeated requests, from the Church. The Receiver dispossessed the Church's administration and asserted sweeping powers over its property, affairs and personnel.

and personnel. On the morning of 4 January, 1979, Deputy Receiver Rafael Chodos in-structed an assembly of Church members and employees from the stage of Ambas-sador Auditorium that:

"The Receiver owns all the prop erty, assets and records of the : . Church ... college and ... founda-tion ... [and] the law gives him the right to do with them as he sees fit." I

He advised those present that the orde appointing the Receiver was valid and that anybody who defied it or him could be jailed for contempt. Chodos further told the assembly they

had better cooperate, in the followin language "... since we know zero, except the bad part, about this organization.

we are going to need the help of all members of the staff . . . Need their cooperation . . . their information. We need it, and we intend to get it. " (Emphasis added.) 2

Chodos went on to emphasize the Receiver's power in the following language: "Judge Weisman, the Receiver

is your boss now. He . . has the power to hire and fire, to dispose of all Church property, I want to emphasize this, as he sees fit in his judgment. Some people have not appreciated the extent of the Receiver's power. He owns everything. It is his property

In addressing the same audience, from the same podium, somewhat later that same morning, the Receiver himself left

no doubt that he seconded these views "Now keep in mind this too. That when the Judge appointed me the Re ceiver, 1 am in charge."4

He went on to indicate that "The bank accounts have to be

changed, and all checks will go out under y signature."5 In a heavy attempt at humor, he added

'You ain't getting a red cent until I sign them."6

The Receiver advised the same assem bly that he had given full authority over the Church to C. Wayne Cole. When asked whether this had Mr. Armstrong's authority, he bluntly responded:

"Well, whether or not Mr. Arm-strong had the authority. I have delegated him as the chief executive officer." (Emphasis added.) 7

The State's authority, according to the Attorney General, extended to a reorgani-zation of the Church's structure. From the same podium on the same day, Deputy Attorney General Tapper told the audi-ence that the Church's hierarchical organization was too "autocratic," This, he gamzation was too autocratic. This, he said, was all going to be changed to a more democratic, or congregational, form through the medium of Courtsupervised elections. If this theory be correct, then the a

the third the pope, that of the Arch-bishop of Canterbury and that of all other hierarchical church leaders are illegally constituted, and subject to change by decision of California's Attorney General

(c) The Tally

The exercise of the Receiver's sum ary powers was made manifest in many ways. Following are a few examples: 1. The CPA firm that had audited the Church's financial statements for over

20 years was summarily discharged. Its offices were likewise raided and its records seized under a specific threat of contempt by Mr. Tapper. No evidence of impropriety, unprofessional conduct or wrongdoing was produced or even cited. wrongdoing was produced or even cited. 2. Employees were intimidated and threatened with immediate dismissal, and a number of actual firings took place. 3. Desecration of Church property and teaching was not only permitted but will-

teaching was not only permitted but wil-fully condoned on a continuing basis as, for example, by working on-the Sabbath, smoking on the Church premises and par-ticularly in the sanctuary, and allowing access on Church premises to disfellowshipped (excommunicated) former mem supper (excommunicated) former mem-bers (some of whom were even hired and given access to Church records and files). All of these actions are in direct contra-vention of specific Church doctrine, and

therefore a desecration. The access ac corded to those who have been disfellow shipped is particularly grave in the eyes of the Church, this being comparable to ordering that the sacraments be administered to an excommunicated member of the Catholic faith. These matters were brought to the Receiver's attention and were ignored. When the protests per-sisted, the Receiver sought and obtained

specific Court authority to hire disfellow shipped former members in positions o authority and bring them upon the prem ises.

4. Among the documents that were 4. Another the occurrents that were records con-taining confidential membership lists, ministerial lists, financial and other rec-ords pertaining to welfare recipients within the Church, tithing records, com-munications between members and the clergy, attorney-client communications and the like. No claim of privilege of any kind was countenanced or entertained by either the Receiver or the Court

At various times, Church leaders, employees and officials were barred from their offices, from the publishing and communications centers, the data process-

communications centers, the data process-ing center and other areas. 6. Communications between the Pastor General and the membership were screened and in one remarkable instance intercepted and impounded: A letter by Mr. Armstrong appealing to the member-ship for contributions to a legal defense fund to be sent to him in Tucson, Arizona, which was processed through the communications center, was stopped at the Pasadena post office upon the order of the Receiver. The Receiver then sent out a Mailgram to the Church's ministers worldwide (whose names and addresses had been obtained from confidential lists), instructing them to advise the Church's membership that they were forbidden to send their tithes to anyone but the Court-appointed Receiver!

(d) The Daman

The effect of the receivership itself, as well as the effect of the Receiver's actions on the Church's credit standing and, consequently, upon its ongoing operations was catastrophic. The Receiver's order to United California Bank resulted in the arbitrary refusal to payment of welfare benefits, checks to widows, to ministers, teachers and employees for salaries checks issued to various other lenders for leased equipment, installment loans and credit card payments, to electronic and print media for radio and television time. advertising and the like, to artists and artist management firms for performa fees, and so on.

The mere appointment of a receiver constituted an act of default under numerconstructed an act of default under numer-ous loan agreements, including that with United California Bank, which promptly withdrew its line of credit, canceled a promised million-dollar loan, called oustandring loans totaling \$1.3 million and offset Church funds on deposit in moment. and offset Church funds on deposit in payment. The Church's self-insured status under the Workmen's Compensa-tion Law was thrown into question and employees were actually urged to sue. The personal credit of employees was instantly impaired, and many of them were denied loans and other routine personal

Church creditors, including major credit card companies, canceled various lines of credit, refused additional credit and demanded cash or certified checks in and demanded cash of certified concers in advance. In addition, of course, the sensa-tional character of the charges and the systematic, well-publicized vilification of

1111

TELEVISION INTERVIEW - Stanley R. Rader, accompanied by Church attorneys Allan Browne and Ralph Helge, is questioned by a Los Angeles, Calif., television station interviewer

Church officials by the Attorney General's representatives, both in and out of court, produced a chilling effect on the membership and a consequent drop in the Church's normal revenues. Had the Church been located principally in California, it would quickly have been strangled. That it is still functioning — and vigorously resisting the State's attack is due solely to the fact that 90 percent - is due solely to the fact that 90 percent of its membership lives outside the bor-ders of California and is thus beyond the reach of Court's and the Attorney General's jurisdiction. Its hard-earned credit reputation within the State has been totally destroyed. The title company refused to issue a

Ine title company refused to issue a policy of title insurance covering the college's Big Sandy campus property, and a pending sale of this property for \$10.6 million (discussed in greater detail below) fell through when the buyer backed out. The Church not only lost the expected sale proceeds, it also lost the substantial income those proceeds would have earned, and it continues to be sad-dled with the crushing cost (\$150,000 per month!) of maintaining this empty and unused property.

(e) The Cost

The drastic and brutal remedy of rethe Court added insult to this injury by ordering the Church, in addition, to pay all of the costs of the receivership. This was no penny-ante bill.

Judge Title's order confirming the appointment of the Receiver pending trial empowered the Receiver to employ just about anyone he chose and to pay them and himself out of Church funds. Specifi-cally, he was authorized to employ and retain "lawyers, accountants, appraisers, business consultants, computer experts security guards, secretarial and clerical help and employees of all sorts . . . " The Receiver took this authority seriously indeed.

deed. During a six-week period running roughly from early January to mid-February (when the original Receiver, former Judge Weisman, announced his wish to resign) the total bill for the Re-ceiver and his assistants totaled a cool quarter of a million dollars. Early in the game, exercising his Court-granted pow-ers, the Receiver transferred \$150,000 in Church funct to his Receiver's ecount to Church funds to his Receiver's account to Church funds to his Receiver's account to defnay receivership expresses as they ac-crued. According to his final account, sub-mitted to the Court on 21 February, 1979, an additional \$100,000 was needed. Some of the highlights of this account-

(1) The Receiver claimed to have worked about 313 hours in a six-week period, requested compensation at the rate of \$150 an hour and presented a total bill for \$51,000. This amounts to about bill for \$51,000. This amounts to about \$8,500 a week or an annual rate of \$442,000, which is approximately 10 times what he had earned as a Superior Court Judge. (It may be noted that the Receiver characterized the \$200,000 an-Receiver characterized the \$200,000 an-nual salary paid by the Church to Stanley Rader, formerly a practicing attorney and CPA, as "outrageous.") (2) The Receiver employed not one but

two sets of attorneys (one for "ordinary matters and one for litigation matters), whose combined bills totaled just under \$60,000. These counsel billed their ser-vices at rates comparable to those charged by the Receiver for himself. One of these also billed time for his daughter, also an attorney. This particular attorney (who, coincidentally, shares professional of-fices with Judge Weisman) billed over 200 hours over the six-week period and submitted a bill for \$31,200, approxi-

additional and a work (or an annual rate of \$250,000 per year).
(3) Guard services billed a total of just under \$60,000, or approximately under \$60,000, or approximately \$10,000 per week. (4) Peat, Marwick & Mitchell, the na-

(4) Fear, Min Wer & Michell, the ha-tional auditing firm retained by the Re-ceiver, submitted bills totaling \$32,300. (5) Two "operating officers" retained by the Receiver at varying periods submit-ted bills. (5) on and bills totaling \$22,000 and bills total

ted bills for, respectively, \$15,100 and \$19,300. The fees billed by one of these totaled \$12,400, for an 11-day period (during which he claimed to have ex-pended 155 hours, or approximately 14 hours per day), which was "discounted" hours per day), which was "discounted to \$11,160, or approximately \$1,000 per day (an annual rate of something in excess of \$300,000 per year). This individual also included bills for time put in by a relative. The rate billed by the other was \$640 per diem (or an annual rate of \$160,000 per year).

Both of these operating officers, it should be noted, were for some reason imported to Pasadena from the San Fran-cisco Bay area, and their statements te-(Continued next page)



(Continued from preceding page) flected, in addition to handsome fees, healthy sums for air transportation, cab

fares, auto rentals, hotels and meals The gravy train was not confined to the Receiver and his entourage. The Attorney General's private attorney "deputies," the Chodos brothers and their associates, who led the initial charge on the Church and carried the laboring oar in the subse quent sustained attack, vigorously urged to the Court that the Church should be ordered to pay them too and presented a bill for fees totaling more than \$100,000 This action moved counsel for the Church, in a brief to the Supreme Court. to refer to the senior Chodos as a "bounty

Hillel Chodos (who advised the Court that he "refrains" from keeping time rec-ords), claimed to have worked over 300 hours on the matter and requested that the Church be ordered to compensate him at the rate of \$200 per hour or a total of \$75,000. This amounts to an annual rate approximating \$450,000!

Mr. Chodos' associates, he urged, should be paid amounts aggregating a further \$26,000.

It will, of course, be borne in mind that all of these individuals were the same parties who were vociferously and pi-ously accusing the *Church* of overpaying its officials and overspending its accounts

IV THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S POSITION (a) Plenary Powers

In the United States, where the Bill of Rights originated, such concepts as sep-aration of church and state, freedom of aration of church and state, treedom of religion, due process of law, presumption of innocence, protection from unreason-able searches and seizures and proof beyond a reasonable doubt are almost au-tomatically assumed. Each of these principles was designed to protect individuals cipies was designed to protect individuals and their private institutions against the arbitrary exercise of the State's awesome power. They are basic to our thinking in this country. When we read of decisions that require the extinguishment of a that require the extinguishment of a lighted cross in the windows of city hall at Christmas and Easter and forbid volun-tary prayer in public schools or State sub-sidies for books or transportation to parochial schools; for fear of excessive trate extractances parochial schools, in rear or matters, it State entanglement in religious matters, it Nazi-like raids on churches could occur in this country, or that any Court in this land would countenance such conduct for one

It is only when we witness events such as those that occurred in Pasadena in January and February of 1979 that we realize the frailty of this protective fabric and its vulnerability to attack, particularly in a time of public and intellectual indif ference, and even hostility, fueled by the macabre episode involving the People's Temple in Jonestown. The sweeping claim of State power-as-serted by California's Attorney General, a

claim that has been accepted and ap-proved by two judges at the trial court level, is grim evidence of just how easily a fatal gash can be torn in that thin protec-

The Attorney General asserts (and has been granted) the absolute right to seize. been granted) the absolute right to seize, examine, administer and reorganize churches at his discretion. This is based upon the theory that all church property in California is public property, held in trust for the public benefit of all the people, and for the public benefit of all the people, and that all church records are public records. Church leadership has no basis for objec-tion or resistance to any action on the State's part, or even the right to counsel or defend the church in this respect. Neither do a church's members have any Neither do a church's members have any right or standing to interven or question such action against their church by alien or hostile third parties. Further, the Attor-ney General does not need evidence of wrongdoing or proof beyond a reasonable doubt to justify such seizure and dispos-session. Mere suspicion is enough. Those we nee the sensition of some hore.

These are not the ravings of some luna-c or extremist nightmare. They are opositions that have been seriously and repeatedly asserted, both in and out of Court, by the Attorney General's representatives and that have, to date, been accepted and enforced at the trial-court level. The examples that follow are merely representative. Many others could be quoted.

(b) Churches Are Charitable Trusts The key to the Attorney General's theory is his concept that all churches are charitable trusts. By invoking this helpful legal fiction, such troublesome impedi-ments as due process of law, First Amendment rights and other constitu-



MEDIA COVERAGE - Representatives of the various media interview Stanley Rader as he waits to gain access to his office in the Church's Hall of Administration in Pasadena

tional protections are neatly sidestepped. and the whole problem is relegated to the technical niceties of trust law concepts.

(c) Public Property

Redefined as a charitable trust, a church is, *ipso facto*, no longer the owner of its property or the master of its own affairs. Neither do its members own or analysis, received on as memory own of control it. According to Messrs. Tapper and Chodos a church's assets are public assets and its records are public records. There are no private interests involved and consequently no private interests involved and consequently no private rights. A church's property rests in the Court's cus-tody, and its leaders are merely trustees who serve at the State's pleasure and are allowed to manage on a day-to-day basis. In their words:

"Every other party who comes be-fore the Court has some claim to its own property and has some right to resist intervention by the Court. But resist intervention by the Court. But for 700 years, Your Honor, it has been the law in England and America that charitable funds are public funds. They are perpetually in the custody of the Court. The Court is the ultimate custodian of all church funds.²¹ (Chodos) 8

'lt's [the Church is] Your Honor's charge. You are the guardian and this Church is your ward." [Chodos] 9 "The institution itself and all of those who run the institution are

"Under ... [the laws of the State of California], although the property is held by the charitable organization, it is held for the benefit of the public at It is held for the benefit of the public at large. If you keep in mind that with an \$80 million cash flow to this organiza-tion every year, maybe \$20, \$30, \$40 million is being subsidized by the other residents of the state of Califor-nia and of the United States through the dediction. There is a user stream. tax deductions, there is a very strong public interest in how the money is sent." [Tapper] 11

This concept deftly avoids question about due process of law, constitution guarantees or First Amendment rights

"Normally in a private situation where you grant ex parte relief, the Court is put in a position of attempting to interfere with someone's rights, and to stop people from doing things that they would otherwise do with their own property, and maybe create great havoc to private interests that have not had an opportunity to be heard, and that is the power that should be exercised with great skepticism and great reservation ** 12

his case, however, there are ate transactions ... there is whose interests can be hurt "In this no prive. no one wi .'' 13 their property always and ul-

timately tests in the Court's custody timately tests in the Court's custody, and they are always and ultimately subject to the supervision of the Court ... The Court is not taking some-thing away from somebody or inter-fering with anyone's private rights." [Chodos] (Emphasis added.) 14

To summarize, all property, all assets of all churches in California are public

property, owned by all the people of the state. All churches are the wards of the Court, and their affairs and conduct are subject to the unlimited scrutiny, supervision and control of the State. (d) Church Leaders May Be Replaced

at Will Since a church is a charitable trust, its

leaders are "trustees" and may, there-fore, be removed and replaced at will. According to the Attorney General, they serve at his and the Court's pleasure: neral, they

".... what we are saying is that there are presently trustees who have been allowed to manage the charitable fund on a day-to-day basis We We believe that essentially those trustees serve at the Court's pleasure and may be replaced with a more trustworth trustee." [Chodos] 15 "... It is the Court's funds, an

the Court may remove and replace and substitute trustees at its pleasure the trustees of that fund have no stand ing." [Chodos] 16

(e) Church Restructuring

The Attorney General's authority in-cludes the power to force the restructuring of any church organization of which he disapproves or that he considers to be too ''autocratic.'' In his view, hierarchically organized churches are effectively ed in California

The State complains that the Church in this case is run by one man, its patriarch and leader, Herbert W. Armstrong, and it insists that this be changed.

"It is our understanding that for many years these institutions have been run rather autocratically. California law provides that there should be opportunities for meetings of the members of a nonprofit organi-zation; and that there should in con-nection with these meetings be oppor-tunities for members to express their will through selecting the people who head the institution. I'm not aware that any of this has occurred in this case . . And the prayer [legal term for *request*] . . [of the complaint] has asked that, at some appropriate time, procedures ... which will be totally Court supervised ... [will] put the institution back on more tradi-tional footing ... "[Tapper] 17

The Court appears to be of the same view

With reference to the conduct of the affairs of the Church, the ad-ministration of its assets and expendi-tures over the last several years, and up to the present time, it seems nevertheless to be conceded that for many years this was essentially a many years this was essentially a one-man operation, with Mr. Arm-strong making all the decisions on a completely unilateral basis. All of these issues. will have to be very carefully scrutinized by the trial court,

By the above reasoning, the author ity of the pope, any archbishop, the patriarch of the Greek Orthodox Church or the hierarchical head of

any other similarly organized church may be challenged, disapproved and set aside by the State.

(f) The Attorney General May Act Upon Mere Suspicion

The Attorney General does not need proof or evidence against a church; a simple accusation will do.

"If there is the slightest hint or sus picion of wrongdoing, let along proof positive or proof by a preponderance, it is the Court's duty to see to it there is a worthy trustee installed, that an investigation is made, that the facts are exposed." [Chodos] 19

Thus the Attorney General is not ob liged to investigate before acting. It someone accuses church leaders, or if he merely suspects them, he may move in Such things as verification, evidence 16 nce. facts - these are for later, if at all. First come seizure, dispossession and control

This theory was evidently accepted and I his theory was evidently accepted and approved by Judge Title. On 12 January, 1979, following a three-day hearing, he confirmed the Receiver's appointment, pending trial, and signed an order giving him the sweeping powers that had been demanded. This order was based not on finding of feat her tarket users the mean the mean findings of fact, but rather upon the suspi-cion of a possibility that something might

"As I have already indicated, I be-lieve it is not the duty of this Court to finally determine those issues, but only to determine whether or not there is any reasonable likelihood that perhaps a trier of fact in the future when this action is heard, will determine that there is some possibility of truth to these charges, probabil ity of truth." [Judge Title] (Emphasia added.) 20

(g) 'Wrongdoing'

The term "wrongdoing," in most The term "wrongdoing," in most people's minds, is associated with lar-ceny, embezzlement, criminal fraud and similar conduct. The Attorney General's use of the term, however, is a good deal more elastic, since wrongdoing, in his definition, means paying salaries that he definition, means paying salaries that he thinks are too high, spending more money on travel than he believes ought to be spent, dealing with companies of which he doesn't approve, contracting for sales of property without his permission, and the like.

"There are various types of mis uses. We all think of diversion of as sets as out-and-out theft, But . . . in trust law there are far higher obliga-tions owed by the people who are in control of properties than they would owe if it was just their own property So you can get into sophisticated diversions through self-dealing, for example. If one were fiduciary of this institution and were engaging his own firms and paying his own money that might be a case of self-dealing There are excesses that can occur in terms of salaries and other financial remunerations [Tapper] 21

In other words, the State is authorized to intrude into the private affairs of every church and decide for itself how it may spend its money, how it may implement its mission: how much it may pay its ministers; how often those ministers can

travel and to where: what sort of acmodations they may stay in or live in. The Attorney General might legitimately inquire whether Michelangelo had been the low bidder for the ceiling of the Sisting Chanel The State will examine the Church's

statement of purpose and decide for itself how that purpose should be fulfilled and whether the Church is doing it properly:

"The law provides that assets taken by a charitable corporation are held in trust for the purposes of that organiza-tion ... primarily we look to the Ar-ticles of Incorporation to determine the purposes . . . , we will be looking to see that the assets . . . are being properly used for the purposes of these institutions." [Tapper] (Em-phasis added.) 22

Judge Title obviously agrees

... There has been an astonish ing amount of money expended by Messrs. Armstrong, Rader and others for many purposes, particularly in connection with so-called travel exconnection with so-called travel ex-pense, the purchase of expensive gifts and so forth . . . Now certainly some expenditures along that line are com-pletely proper and permissible, and they are certainly within the discretion of those persons who are authorized to e whether they should be determi made. Of course the persons have to be authorized and decide what amounts of money should be ex-

The Court is referring to expenses in-curred in the course of Mr. Armstrong's overseas travels (and those of other Church officials and delegations) in pur suit of the Church's primary mission of "spreading the Gospel throughout the world." The "gifts" referred to are gifts presented by the Church to foreign heads of state and other dignitaries. Clearly the Court is reserving to itself and the Attor-ney General the right to dictate just how much of this, if any, is proper and permis-

(h) Ecclesiastical Matters

(b) Eccession(ca) synthesis The State's power even extends to ec-clesiastical matters. The Court's order of 19 January, 1979, gave to the Receiver complete authority over the college and foundation in this respect also, the only limitation pertaining to the Church itself. In this latter respect, the Court reserved to itself the right to determine what was and was not an ecclesiastical matric. was not an ecclesiastical matter.

was not an ecclesiastical matter. Furthermore, the Court issued a grim warning of how it would deal with any claim that some matters, such as tithing records, welfare payments, ministers' salaries, or similar clerical disburse-ments, mare acclasization in characteristic ments, were ecclesiastical in character:

"If . . . I have one or two petitions come into this Court with arguments made that the financial records involve ecclesiastical matters, let me assure you that I will consider that evidence of bad faith . . ." [Judge Title] (Emphasis added.) 24

(i) The Attorney General's Power Is Plenary

The Attorney General's power through the Court is virtually absolute. One has only to examine the text of the Receiver ship Order signed by Judge Title on 19 January, 1979, which gave the Receiver sweeping power and control over every aspect of Church operations, finances and ninistration, including the right to hire and fire at pleasure. This latter authority even included the right to discharge of suspend the Church's leader, Mr. Arm-strong, and his personal adviser, Mr Rader, upon application to the Court. In the case of Mr. Rader, such application was actually prepared and filed by the Receiver.

The Receiver himself was under no illusion regarding the extent of his power, as we have seen above.

"The law is that the Receiver own all the property, assets and records of the Worldwide Church of God, Inc., and Ambassador College, Inc. He is in possession of them. The law gives him the right to do with them as he sees fit . Anyone who defies the order is in contempt of court and can be put in jail for his contempt."

Can be put in jain for his contempt. [Deputy Receiver Chodos] 25 ... The Receiver is your boss now, who has the power to hire and fire, to dispose of all Church property, I want to emphasize this, as he sees fit (Continued next page)

ed from preceding page in his judgment. Some people have not appreciated the extent of the Receiver's power. He owns every-thing. It is his property now." [Deputy Receiver Chodos] 26

(i) The Church Has No Right to Resist Right to Cou

ording to the Attorney General, the Church, being a public trust, has no pri-vate rights to be protected and therefore no basis for resisting the "protective" intervention of the Court or the Attorney General. Since its leaders, as "trustees," have no interest either and may, in any event, be removed by the Court at will they have no standing or basis either for resisting on behalf of the Church or de-fending its interest. They may even be in violation of their trust if they spend Church funds to obtain counsel; since the Church is not entitled to counsel other than the Court itself or, perhaps, such counsel as might be appointed by a Court-appointed Receiver.

. . . the charitable fund is the subject matter of this proceeding. subject matter of this proceeding. It is in't a party in the usual asense. It is in Your Honor's safekeeping. It has no interest to protect against the Court. The Church as a charitable trust has no interest to protect here'' [Chodos] (Emphasis added.) 27

"It is Your Honor's responsibility do whatever needs to be done to preserve it . . . and protect the assets and records, and no one has any basis to resist that intervention." [Chodos]

"I am saying if there is any interest of the Church that needs representation before you, the Receiver should select that counsel. That coun-sel should be briefed to come and raise whatever arguments have to be pre-sented for the Church, and it should be paid out of the Church fund it should be papproval by the Court after a proper application." [Chodos] 29

'What I'm suggesting is this Church doesn't need a lawyer to help this Court protect its assets." [Chodos] (Emphasis added.) 30 "I don't think the Church has a

single interest that needs counsel before Your Honor. In my view, the Church ought to welcome the supervision of the Court." [Chodos] (Emphasis added.) 37

(k) Members Have No Standing

While on the one hand the State con While on the one hand the state con-tends that the Church's members must, by law, elect the Church's leaders, the State on the other hand insists they are without right or power to say how their contribu-tions shall be spent and have no standing to investigate the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of the state of the state of the state of the intervence of the state of to intervene or otherwise question any action taken by the Attorney General. The charitable trust theory bars them from any interest or rights in or to the subject of the trust, which they have created?

"Under the law once people donate money to a charitable organization. they no longer have standing to direct how it is to be used. It must be used in accordance with the laws of the State of California. And under those laws, although the property is held by the atthough the property is held by the charitable organization, it is held for the benefit of the public at large. If you keep in mind that... this organi-zation every year is being subsidized ... through tax deductions, there is a very strong public interest in how the moment is constit. (Thermal 20 money is spent." [Tapper] 32

Judge Title clearly agrees. When coun sel for the Church argued that six dissi-dent former members of the Church activity for the state of the Church should not, through the State or other-wise, be permitted to overrule the wishes of the 100,000 faithful members in good standing, the Court admonished him

"Their wishes are immaterial, counsel." [Title] (13 February, 1979)

On 20 February, 1979, Judge Robert Weil, in Department 88 of the Superior Court, heard a motion brought by an or-ganization representing the vast majority of the members of the Church who were seeking lave to intervene in the Attorney General's action in order to assert and initiate the second vindicate their own interest and their own personal constitutional rights, as well as those of their Church. Such motions, where the intervenor has the sightest di-rect interest, are usually granted as a matter of routine on an ex parte basis. In this case, however, it was set down for a full dress adversary hearing. Despite the fact that it was the personal

rights of the individual members to wor ship freely that were being trampled

upon by the State and the manner in which upon by the state and the manner in which their individual tithes and offerings were being spent that was in issue, Judge Weil adopted the State's charitable trust concept in toto and, basing his decision upon its niceties, held that the members and an interies, held that the members had no interest or standing in the con had no inferest or standing in the con-troversy concerning the money they had contributed or the Church, which they had built as the selected instrument for their chosen form of worship. The techni-calities of trust law were invoked to exclude them from any voice in the matter or opportunity to defend their own substan-tive rights or those of their Church.

By way of postscript the Judge added that, in any event, since the Church had chosen to organize itself under the California nonprofit corporation law, it had to play by those rules. Ninety percent of Cal fornia's churches that are so or ganized will undoubtedly be surprised to learn that their most fundamental substantive rights have, according to Judge Weil, been waived and declared forfeit on a technical question of form.

(I) Corporations Code Section 9505 The Attorney General's assertion of power is based upon a section of the

State's nonprofit corporation law, Corpo-ration Code Section 9505, which reads as follows "SUPERVISION OF ATTOR-

"SUPER VISION OF ATTOR-NEY GENERAL WHERE PROP-ERTY HELD IN TRUST: "A nonprofit corporation, which holds property subject to any public or charitable trust, is subject at all times to examination by the Attorney Gen-eral on behalf of the State, to suscertain the condition of its affairs and to what ettent: if at all it may fail to comply extent, if at all, it may fail to comply with trusts that it has assumed or may depart from the general purposes for which it is formed. In case of any such failure or departure the Attorney General shall institute in the name of the State, the proceedings necessary to correct the noncompliance or departure."

One or two things are immediately apparent from a reading of this secion: The power it confers is virtually unlimited. It does not deal with charitable trusts, but rather nonprofit corporations, which hold property subject to public or charitable trust. It says nothing whatever about churches or religious organizations. In order, then, for the Attorney General

to justify Section 9505's application to an entire church, it is not enough to find that a church may hold some property that is subject to a trust; it is, rather, necessary to redefine the church itself as one entire charitable trust, *ipso facto*. This, as we have seen, is the cornerstone of the theory and the key to the Attorney General' strategy

While it is not the purpose of this paper o develop the legal arguments on this question, pro and con, it may be pointed out that California's legislature obviously never intended that the charitable trust concept be applied in any such sweeping fashion to churches, since it not only did not mention churches or religious organizations in Section 9505 (undoubtedly as suming that no one would be foolish

enough to import so shocking a concept into this section by implication), but in the comprehensive law it did enact with recomprehensive law if did enact with re spect to charitable trusts, namely, th "UNIFORM SUPERVISION OI TRUSTEES FOR CHARITABLE PUR POSES ACT," the legislature expressed itsel'specifically on the point. This law sets up a regulatory and supervisory scheme for charitable trusts, which makes them liable to periodical examination by the Attranay General and requires them then the the Attorney General and requires them to register and file regular detailed reports. If churches were viewed as charitable trusts by the legislature and were liable to examination or under an obligation to ac-count, it surely would have included them within the embrace of this legislation.

On the contrary, however, the legisla-ture, in Government Code Section 12583, specifically excepted all churches and religious organizations from all of the provisions of the act and, consequently, from any obligation to account or from any liability to examination by the Attor ney General or any other State official Clearly the legislature had in mind the constitutional sanctions and understood quite well that separation of church and state meant just exactly that.

Furthermore, the charitable trust concept, while it has received mention from the Courts in connection with churches the Courts in connection with churches, has been applied only in specific and very limited aituations, as, for example, an aid to determining the most appropriate dis-tribution of the property of a church that was voluntarily dissolving. It has never before been held or even suggested that the charitable trust doctrine could be in-voked to uphold or justify the sweeping invasion of church affairs successfully accompliable by the Affairs successfully accomplished by the Attorney General and co intenanced by the trial co urt in this

In the words of Dr. J. Gordon Melton, director of the Evans n. Illinois-based Institute for the Study of American Reli-

"The attempt to redefine the Worldwide Church of God as a 'pub-lic trust' and its property as 'in a sense public' is the most flagrant attack on the freedom of religion and the inde pendent status of religious institutions in this country in many years The s country in many years . . . The t of the actions of [Deputy Attor ney General] Tapper has been to place all churches under State control and an charters other state control and put strict limits on how they can spend their money and acquire and dispose of property. The possibility that such precedent-setting efforts will gain some credence is heightened by the public reaction to the tragedy of Guyana. Such backlash effects in not be permitted to take place." 34

Alice: When is a church not a church? White Rabbit: When it is a charitable trust!

Alice: When does a church become a aritable trust? White Rabbit: When the State says so. ch

Alice: Things are becoming curiouser and curiouser

CHARGES At this point, is is appropriate to exam

ine the charges leveled at the Church and the the charges leveled at the Church and its leaders by the Attorney General; the "evidence" adduced by the Attorney General in support of these charges; and finally the real facts as established either by actual Court holding of defendants" evidence, uncontradicted or irrefutable

evidence, uncontradicted or irretutable. (1) Charge: That the Church has failed and still refuses to make or render ade-quate or regular accountings. "Evidence": None. In fact, numerous documents attached to the Attorney

documents attached to the Attorney indi-cated otherwise. These latter consisted of selected excerpts from detailed reports of expenses, including foreign travel, pre-pared by the Church and circulated to its serverbased to 1002 from the second secon rship in 1975-76.

membership in 1975-76. Facts: The Church and the college have been audited annually by an outside CPA firm since 1956. These examinations have been conducted in accordance with generally accepted professional account ing standards and auditing procedures The effectiveness of these controls wa recently demonstrated when they re vealed a major discrepancy, which the Church promptly corrected and fully re-ported to its members. The foundation, which was organized in 1975, was au-dited for the first time in 1977. The 1978 audit for all three organizations is being abut nor an unce organizations is being performed by Arthur Andersen & Co., one of the "big eight" national account-ing firms, which has been specifically re-tained by the Church for this purpose and for the purpose of verifying the integrity of earlier audits. No evidence has been introduced to show or even suggest that all audits have not been properly and pro-fessionally conducted. In addition, as demonstrated by the

tion, as dem onstrated by the documents attached to the Attorney General's complaint, the Church regularly prepared and circulated to its membership detailed expense reports, particularly in respect of foreign travel. (2) Charge: Messrs. Armstrong, Rader

and others were incurring exorbitant

and others were incurring ecoronami travel, gift and entertainment expenses. "Evidence": The above-mentioned Church expense records and other docu-ments from the period 1975-76 (all exam-ined by the IRS in the course of its lengthy audit), which were dissemin to Church ministers and members. No evidence was introduced that the expendi-tures were not in furtherafice of Church business or that they were unreasonably high.

Facts: In pursuit of its primary mit to spread the Gospel worldwide and in order to gain goodwill for the Church and obtain access to people in other countries, Church leaders travel widely and confer with foreign government leaders. The Church presents gifts to heads of state and Church presents guits to heads of state and other dignitaries, gives receptions for them and incurs other ordinary entertain-ment and travel expense. This has re-sulted in dramatic increases in the Church's membership, in its following that is the combined test which each other and in the contributions, which enable the Church to carry out its Work. The charges Church to carry out its Work. The charges detailed in the expense reports, as estab-lished by the Church's evidence, were not examples of individual "high living" by Church officials but were representative of charges incurred by entire Church delegations traveling on official Church busi

(3) Charge: Messrs. Armstrong and Rader are engaging in self-dealing with Church funds to their personal benefit. "Evidence": As to Mr. Armstrong vidence : offered. Svidence": As to Mr. Rader: None

"Evidence": As to Mr. Rader: 1. In 1967, a partnership, of which Mr. Rader was a member, purchased an air-plane and leased it to the Church. No evidence was offered on his or the partnership's profit, if any, therefrom, or on its value to the Church.

In 1971, Mr. Rader purchased a home allegedly paid for by the Church and sold it in 1978, pocketing the pro-ceeds.

After the sale of the Beverly Hills residence, Mr. Rader bought another home from the Church, presumably at a knockdown price. 4. Mr. Rader is overpaid.

1. In 1967, Mr. Rader was neither an officer, director nor member of the Church. The Church could not afford to church. The church could not lease purchase the airplane and could not lease it through normal channels, since lessors are reluctant to lease to churches, feeling that they do not want to be placed in the position of suing a church in the event of default. Mr. Rader formed the partnership and personally executed indemnities to the other partners in order to enable the Church to lease the airplane.

2. In 1971, Mr. Rader was specifically 2. In 1971, Mr. Rader was specifically asked by the Church to purchase a house in Beverly Hills that would be suitable for entertaining visiting foreign dignitaries, In order to facilitate financing, the Church initially purchased the house. When the financing was ultimately arranged, Mr. Bades took over the moverty moid the initiany financing der tor Rader took over the property, paid the Courch the \$90,000 it had advanced as a down payment, assumed the loan alloca-ble to the property and gave the Church a second trust deed for the balance of the second trust deed for the balance of the original purchase price. Because the resi-dence was used to entertain foreign vis-itors in furtherance of Church work, the form in interperate of Church work, the Church paid certain maintenance ex-penses on the property. These payments were reported by Mr. Rader as income on his tax returns, and he paid taxes and tithed on them.

Mr. Rader made all payments on the house until he became a member of the Church in 1975, subsequent to which the Church occasionally made payments on Church occasionally made payments on his behalf to the lender, treating the same as compensation to Mr. Rader. Mr. Rader reported all such payments as income and, as with the maintenance payments, paid taxes and tithed on them.

In 1978, Mr. Rader, pursuant to Mr. Armstrong's request, prepared to move to Tucson, Arizona, and, as a consequence, sold his house, realizing a gain by virtue of its appreciation.

3. The second house, in Pasadena, was independently appraised at \$208,000. Mr. Rader purchased it from the Church for \$225,000, cash.

4. Mr. Rader had successful law and 4. Mr. Rader had successful naw and accounting practices prior to becoming employed by the Church, and his salary of \$200,000 is commensurate with his earn-ing power. He travels 200 days per year on Church business, and he has made a major contribution to its growth and success. There was no showing that his com-pensation is excessive, and the allegation that it is may be judged in light of claims by the Receiver and his associates for compensation from the Church at rates more than double that paid to Mr. Rader.

(4) Charge: Mesars. Rader and Armstrong and others have been selling off strong and others nave been setting off and liquidating the Church's property on a massive scale at prices well below their market value, including some 50 par-cels of property in Southern California and the 1,600-acre campus of Ambassador and the 1,000-acte campus of Autoassador College in Big Sandy, Texas. It was claimed that this latter property, allegedly worth \$30 to \$50 million, was about to be sold for the knocked-down price of \$10.6 million in a sale due to close on 4 January, 1000 1979.

"Evidence": None as to closing date, except for a conclusory statement in an attorney's declaration that "it appears that the sale will close on 4 January, 1979." None as to value except for an excerpt from a magazine article (pure hearent) - liteacily, environ the memory. hearsay) allegedly quoting the prospec-tive purchaser, who was puffing the price for resale

Facts: Judge Title of the Superior Pacts: Judge Title of the Superior Court held that no evidence was produced to substantiate the charge of property sales below market value, and the Attor-ney General conceded his failure in this respect. On the other hand, the Church produced independent professional apraisals to support each property sale, all f which demonstrated that those properties that were sold (and their nu (Continued next page)

AREA MEMBERS - Worldwide Church of God members from many Southern California congregations take a break for lunch at a sit-in conducted in the Church's Hall of Administration in Pasadena.

(Continued from preceding page

was substantially less than that charged) ware sold at prices aggregating several hundred thousand dollars above appraised values. The fair market value of Big values. The fair market value of Big Sandy was fixed by a national appraisal firm at \$6.6 million, some \$4 million less than the sale price. Furthermore, these sales were made in consequence of a deci-sion to eliminate the liberal arts curriculum at the college and cut it back to its original scope as a seminary. This ren ed a large number of properties sur-s, including the Big Sandy campus, which, even though empty, costs \$1.8 million per year just to maintain. (5) Charge: Defendants have

threatened to deny access to the Church's books and records and have "demonstrated an intention to remove and de-stroy such books and records through iding and other means."

"Evidence": None, according to Judge Title, who held in the course of a hearing on 21 February, 1979, that the State had a presented no credible evidence that any documents had been destroyed, shredded or removed.

Facts: The Attorney General had never been denied access, since he had never requested access. Had he made and pursued a request in the same manner as the IRS, he would have been accorded the same privilege of consensual examina-tion. All of the Church's financial records are on its computer, which is located in a full-security building a quarter of a mile away from the administration offices. away from the administration offices. Neither Mr. Armstrong nor Mr. Rader has ever set foot in the building. Nothing has been destroyed or carried off, since the ben evidence to reflute wrongdoing are the records themselves, which are wholly exculpatory. The Church has demonstrated that it has nothing to hide. No proof of any concealment has been produced. (6) Charge: Mr. Armstrong and Mr.

(b) Charge: ML ATIMSTONG and ML. Rader are "sphoning off the property and assets of the Church and appropriating these to their personal use on a massive scale amounting to several million dollars a year; are pilfering the revenues and as-sets of the Church to their own personal use and benefit on a massive scale."

use and benefit on a massive scale," "Evidence": None. Facts: The internal accounting system of the Church has scrupulously accounted for every penny that is received and ex-pended and, as successive audits have period and, as soccessive audits nave proved, no such "siphoning" or "pilfer-ing" could have taken place without its being reflected in the accounting records. As indicated above, a recent case of attempted pilfering was promptly detected and exposed without assistance from the State. The national accounting firm of Arthur Andersen & Co., in the course of its current audit, has been requested spe-cifically to verify the integrity of the inter-nal and external controls in the accounting nai and external controls in the accounting system and to render an opinion with re-spect to their adequacy to detect any such misappropriation as well as to indicate their finding in this respect. Neither the Church nor the officials in question have anything to hide. On the contrary, they anytaing to nace. On the contrary, they have a great deal to protect and vindicate. Because their names and hitherto un-blemished reputations for integrity have been thoroughly blackened by the State's publicly proclaimed and endlessly re publicly proclaimed and endlessly re-peated charges, they have a distinct in-terest in establishing their innocence of any wrongdoing. (7) Charge: Mr. Armstrong is a feeble and senile old man.

"Evidence": His age — 86 years. Facts: Mr. Armstrong still travels vorldwide, is constantly writing innumerable articles, is presently working on five books to be published this year (one

Twe books to be published this year (one of which is already in print), conducts numerous meetings with Church minis-ters and officials, personally oversees all copy in Church publications and speaks and appears frequently in broadcast media and before live audiences. Perhaps the and before live audiences. Perhaps the best evidence in this tespect is a story appearing in the Los Angeles *Times* under the by line of a reporter who attended a recent ministerial convocation in Tucson, over which Mr. Armstrong presided, for over which Mr. Armstrong presided, too the precise purpose of observing Mr. Armstrong's physical condition. In the reporter's mind, his experience laid to rest the myth of Mr. Armstrong's senility, ac-cording to the published story.

VI CHRONOLOGY OF COURT PROCEEDINGS (a) Genesis of the Action

ometime in late 1978, a small group

of dissident former Church members to see Beverly Hills attorney Hillel Chodos and consulted with him regarding alleged improprieties occurring within the Ch hurch. Among this group were: (1) Alvin and Shirley Timmons, fol-



CHURCH ATTORNEYS - Representatives of the Los Angeles, Calif., Times, New York Times, radio station KFWB and an area television station interview Alian Browne, attorney for the Church, Stanley Rader and Ralph Heige, head of the Church's Legal Department, in the Church's Hall of Administration in Pasadena.

lowers of Garner Ted Armstrong (Garner Ted Armstrong is the son of Church found-er Herbert W. Armstrong. A charismatic man with an attractive television person-ality, he was active in the Church for several years prior to 1978. Many thought of blance his forders were thinknesses. of him as his father's most likely successor as the Church's leader. The logical

sof as the clutch's reader. Theological and philosophical differences with his father and Church leaders, as well as dif-ferences regarding his personal conduct, led to his being "disfellowshipped" [i.e., excommunicated1 by his father in 1978. Some press reports concerning it father-son dispute speculated that Garn Ted's removal might have been procur the by Mr. Rader in order to clear the way for

by Mr. kader in order to clear the way for his own succession. This is unfounded, since Mr. Rader is not a minister and could not succeed Mr. Armstrong. The senior Armstrong took the definitive ac-tion after long deliberation, with great reluctance and in deference to the strong

retuctance and in deterence to the strong urging of the ministry. Since that time, Garner Ted Armstrong has formed his own Church of God Inter-national, based in Tyler, Texas, with the support of former members of the Worldwide Church of God, whose mem-Worldwide Church of God, whose mem-bers he has invited to join his new organi-zation. Some have ascribed to him the instigation of the events leading to the filing of the present action. He has denied this, Were the Worldwide Church of God to be discredited, however, he would stand to benefit.):

stand to benefit.); (2) David Morgan, an electrician and former Church employee; (3) Benjamin Chapman, the husband of Garner Ted Armstrong's secretary. (This same woman is the widow of Garner

Ted's decased brother.) Mr. Chodos then went to see Deputy Attorney General Lawrence Tapper and communicated to him the information that that been furnished by his clients. After listening to this, Mr. Tapper authorized the filing of a complaint on behalf of the State, based upon their claims. Little of nothing was apparently done to investi-gate or verify these accusations before gate of verify these accusations before proceeding. This is evidenced by the fact that all but the most petty of them turned out to be groundless. It is certain, at least, that Mr. Tapper directed no inquiry to the Church or request for leave to examine its records; neither did he advise Church ofrecords; neither did he advise Church off-ficials of the charges nor offer them an opportunity to refute or explain them. He simply made no contact whatever with the Church beforehand, but chose, instead, to proceed by stealth.

(b) The Complaint

The Attorney General's complaint is cast in four sections. The first asks for an accounting; the second asks that the accounting: the second isks that the Church's directors be removed and that a new Board of Directors be selected by a vote of the Church's members, through the medium of Court-supervised elec-tions; the third seeks the appointment of a Receiver: and the fourth asks for injunc

Receiver; and the fourth asks for injunc-tive relief to insure cooperation. The form of the complaint is known technically as "ex relatione" or "on the relators, who allegedly furnished or "re-lated" the information on the basis of

which the Attorney General acted. These which the Attorney General acted. These individuals, of course, were the clients of Mr. Chodos. Technically speaking, they have no standing as parties; it is the State of California that is the plaintiff. The charges contained in the complaint

are those that have been discussed earlier Most of them are alleged in conclusory form and virtually all are based upon "in-formation and belief," as opposed to the actual knowledge of the complainants. The complaint alleges that all of the assets of the Church, college and foundation are

of the Church, college and foundation are held in public trust. Attached to the complaint were a number of declarations signed by certain relators and attorneys. None of these were in the proper form to constitute competent ovide e, and none contained much more evidence, and none contained much more than conclusory and, bearsay statements (in some instances, double and even triple bearsay). The flavor of some of the 'tim-proprieties'' charged may be sensed from one or two samplings. For example, one relator complained that a better and more expensive grade of piping (copper) was used in constructing Ambassador Au-ditorium than necessary; galvanized pipe autorum tran necessary, gaivanized pipe would have been adequate. A similar complaint was made with respect to the wiring. Another alleged that the chan-deliers purchased for the auditorium were too fancy. And so on. Attached to the complaint were exten-

Attached to the complaint were exten-sive (and highly selective) excerpts from detailed expense reports prepared by the Church administration in 1975 and 1976 and distributed to the membership. Pre-sumably these were intended to document them. of table lines and another the second claims of high living and personal extravagance on the part of Church officials. As noted above, the factual explanation As noted above, the factual explanation for these items totally refuted this claim. What is curious, however, is the rather ludicrous contradiction presented by the attachment of these detailed, publicly disand intervention of the second information!

(c) The Ex Parte Receivership Order

An ex parte order is one that is obtained upon the application of one party only; that is to say, pursuant to a hearing at that is to say, pursuant to a hearing at which only one party is present or rep-resented. In our legal system, orders of any consequence are normally made only following a hearing su which *all* parties are either represented or have at least been described to such a such as the such as the subafforded that right.

Having prepared the complaint, the At-torney General's next objective was to obtain the appointment of a Receiver to take over the Church, on an ex parte basis. and the complaint, the At Ethical considerations aside, this concept was a brilliant one, tactically, Receiver was a brilliant one, tactically. Receiver-ship is perhaps the most drastic remedy known to the law. It is virtually never imposed ex parte, and it is normally or-dered only following extensive, adver-sary hearings, in which competent evice is produced to establish a compel ling need. Had such an opportunity to be heard been afforded to the Church before the making of any order in this case, its evidence would have demolished the State's claimed grounds for needing a Receiver, and one never would have been appointed. The Church, however, was denied bla all in

nied this all-important day in court. The State of California commands sormous power. The fact that it has utenormous power. The tact that it has un-tered a charge carries great weight, in and of itself. An order of the Superior Court carries weight of almost equal dignity. If, then, the State could persuade a Court to appoint a Receiver before affording the defendants a chance to be heard, a double presumption would arise in the public's mind that them was a nour tensor for its presumption wown arise in the puter mind that there was a good reason for its issuance, by virtue of the mere granting of the order itself and thus, in effect, throw the burden on the defendants to prove

eir own innocence. This is exactly what happened. Mr. Tapper, Mr. Chodos and his as sociates, together with their hand-picked candidate for the Receiver's job, ex-Judge Steven Weisman (a close perso friend of Chodos) secured a hearing in the friend of Chodos) secured a hearing in the chambers of Judge lerry Pacht, sitting in Department 85 of the Superior Court, one of the two Writs and Receivers depart-ments, on the afternoon of 2 January, 1979. (The manner in which Judge Pacht came to hear the matter [as opposed to some other judge] is of interest.

All injunctive and receivership orders in the Los Angeles Court are issued out of the two Writs and Receivers departments, Nos. 85 and 86. Cases are assigned to one department or the other on a mathematical department or the other on a mathermatical basis, even-numbered cases going to one department, odd-numbered cases to the other. However, a case number is not assigned until the complaint is actually filed. Furthermore, the judges sitting in these departments are specially assig ual basis, commencing the first

on an annual control of each year. Judge Pacht is a member of California's Commission on Judicial Per-formance. Hillel Chodos is a fellow rormance. Hiller Chodos is a reliow member of the same commission. Judge Pacht was assigned to Department 85 commencing 1 January, 1979; concur-rently Judge Vernon Foster was assigned to Department 86, Pacht's predecess Department 85 was Judge Charles Phil lips. The 2nd of January, therefore, w Pacht's first day in office in that depa was

Had the action been filed prior to year's end, a different judge would certainly have heard it. Had the action even been have heard it. Had the action even been filed before ex parte relief was sought, in the manner required by the Court rules, there was only a 50 percent chance that it would be assigned to Department 85. The facts therefore suggest that Mr. Chodos, facts therefore suggest that Mr. Chodos, not wishing to leave anything to chance, engaged in a bit of astute shopping. He deferred acting until 2 January. Accord-ing to a declaration filed by him, he tele-phoned Department 85 that morning and was put directly through to Judge Pacht by was put directly through to Judge Pacht by the clerk. Chodos advised the judge that he intended to seek ex parte relief that afternoon. Pacht suggested he send his proposed complaint in that morning, and Chodos accordingly had it delivered by messenger for the Court's perusal in ad vance

By thus approaching the Court before actual filing, he eliminated any chance that the case might, on the luck of the

draw, be assigned to Department 86. It is evident from the facts that Mr. Chodos and the Attorney General were afforded courtesies that are not available to other lawyers.)

This proceeding was most unusual in at least two respects. First, it was held in violation of the Court's so-called "four-hour rule" (Los Angeles Superior Court, Writs and Receivers Manual, \$205.2 and 303.5). This is a rule that requires that all counsel intending to make ex parte applications notify the opposing party, or his counsel if known, in advance in order to afford the other side an opportunity at least to be present in chambers and oppose the granting of a requested order. This was not done in this instance, or even mentioned, so far as the record discloses.

Secondly, the hearing was held in advance of the complaint's actual filing. Normally, Courts will hear only applica-tions with respect to cases that have actu-ally been filed, and, in the case of ex parte injunctive relief. Court rule specifically requires that the complaint be filed first (Los Angeles Superior Court Rules 7.1 and 7.2; Writs and Receivers Manual S303.2).

Judge Pacht, according to the transcript (The fact that a reporter was present at the hearing in Judge Pacht's chambers did not become known to the defendants until al-most a month later, long after the hearing before Judge Title. Thus neither they nor Judge Title had the benefit, during the here-day hearing before Judge Title, of knowing the precise grounds on which Pacht had been persuaded to act and, par-ticularly, of the critical fact that his order was bas ed on the very claims that Title himself held to be wholly unfounded!). was persuaded to act on the basis of three ellegations, which were urged upon him (1) That the defendants were assiduby

ously liquidating Church properties on a "massive" scale, had already sold 50 parcels of property in Pasadena at values well below market and would, unless he acted, dispose of even more of them in the same way. 35 (2) That the college's campus in Big

(2) That the concept s campus in Big Sandy, Texas, allegedly worth in excess of \$30 million, would be sold on 4 January, 1979, for a price of only \$10.6 million unless he acted to stop it. (Judge Pacht specifically referred to this allega

(3) That the defendants were busily shredding, destroying, carrying off or otherwise concealing documents and evidence 37

(All three of these allegations later (All three of these allegations later proved to be totally false. [One of the State's informants later stated that he met with Rafael Chodos on the morning of 2 January, 1979, and had an extensive con-versation with him regarding these and other claims and specifically emphasized to him that the sales in question were sales of surplus property and were more than adequately supported by professional ap-praisals that demonstrated that these were not being sold below market. In other not being sold below market. In other words, if the informant's statement about words, it the informant's statement about the meeting is accurate, the Attorney General had been advised that these charges were false *before* he went to see Judge Pacht!] The plaintiffs produced no competent evidence to support their claims and so conceded in the case of Big . 38

On the other hand, the evidence produced by the defendants entirely dis-proved the claims and demonstrated that proved the chains and demonstrated that the sales were fully justified and well in excess of appraised market values. In one of his few favorable rulings, Judge Title so held with respect to the alleged "liquiso held with respect to the alleged "liqui-dations below value." 39 He also dis-posed of the document-destruction charge in similar fashion, holding that plaintiffs had simply failed to produce any credible evidence to substantiate this charge. 40 Had the Court enforced its own hour rule," these facts would all have been placed before Judge Pacht!)

Nevertheless, Judge Pacht issued the order and authorized the receivership, and order and authorized the receivership, and the damage was done. The momentum created by that order and the destructive presumptions stemming from it have blackened the Church's reputation and that of its leaders in the minds of virtually that of its leaders in the minds of virtually everyone whose only contact with the case has been obtained through the sensa-tional public reporting of these events. The presumption of validity attached to a Court order is very strong, so it is not surprising that the press, the public and even the Courts have accorded great sig-nificance and deference to the Pacht order, reasoning that there must have been something very wrong to persuade Judge Pacht to issue so drastic an order, on an ex parte basis, without even four on an ex parte basis, without even four hours' no tice.

(Contin ed next pa (Continued from preceding page)

Judge Pacht's qualms about the propri ety of issuing so drastic an order without notice or hearing were quickly allayed by honce or nearing were quickly allayed by the Attorney General's exposition of his charitable trust theory and his assurances that the Court need not be concerned, since no private rights were involved, the Chutch's property being public property and its records public rerds. To this th Court responded, "I don't have any quar rel with that " 41

(d) Confirmation of Receiver's Appointn

Judge Pacht's order merely appointed the Receiver on a temporary basis, until a hearing could be beld on whether the Receiver should remain in place pending trial. This hearing was set for 10 January 1979

Prior to that date, Church cour sel an plied to Judge Vernon Foster in Depart-ment 86 for an order dissolving the tem-porary receivership. This Judge Foster declined to do, but he did issue an order sharply restricting the Receiver's powers and reduced his role to that of mere record custodian

Because the 10 January hearing prom-ised to be lengthy, it was assigned to the regular trial department of Judge Julius regular trial department of Judge Julius Title, sitting in Department 48. Title, as he advised counsel from the bench, is a longtime personal friend of former Judge Steven Weisman, the Receiver. He also has a reputation for conducting hearings on an expedited, no-nonsense basis.

At the close of three days of testimony and argument, he found no evidence of specific wrongdoing. He further specifi-cally held that the plaintiffs had failed to prove their claims regarding alleged liquidation of property or shredding of documents. However, he accepted the At-torney General's charitable trust theory at face value, held that there was enough evidence in the record to create a "suspi cion" and therefore issued an order con tinuing the Receiver in power, pending trial. 42 The text of this order, actually signed on 19 January, 1979, is breathtak-ing in its breadth and sweep, as earlier

Judge Title was later challenged for Judge Title was later challenged for cause by counsel for the Church on the basis of his friendship for Judge Weis-man. He refused to disqualify himself, and a specially assigned hearing judge denied the challenge without bearing our opinion

A number of subsequent hearings be fore Title, who was specially assigned to hear all matters touching upon the receivnear ail matters touching upon the receiv-ership, evidenced increasing irascibility toward any resistance to the Receiver's actions or the Attorney General's exami-nation on whatever ground, even that of constitutionally protected rights.

The Receiver retained the national ac counting firm of Peat, Marwick & Mitch ell to conduct the examination. Their a en to conduct the examination. Their ac-countants set to work early in January and spent almost six weeks plowing through stacks of Church financial records. However, the roughshod tactics of the

However, the roughshou factures on the Receiver and his operating officers had stimulated massive resistance on the part of the Church's members who conducted a three-day sit-in at the Church, effectively barring the Receiver from the prem ises. Judge Title, at the Attorney ises. Judge Title, at the Attorney General's urging, ordered a small army of sheriff's deputies to assault the premises and arrest the passively resisting Church members. However, cooler heads pre-vailed. The Receiver was persuaded to moderate his actions. He fired his chief operating officer and permitted the Church's administration once again to as-some its functions.

(e) Dissolution of the Receivership

Early in February, the Receiver an-nounced to the Court his wish to resign, citing "obstruction and harassment," The Court set 21 February as the date for a The Court set 21 retruity as the date for a bearing on the Receiver's final account-ing and petition for discharge, as well as the designation of a successor Receiver. After disposing of preliminary motions and hearing argument on one or two peripheral matters, the Court, surpris-mely announced its interation of discourts ngly, announced its intention of dissolv examination to go forward under the pro-tection of a comprehensive injunctive

The Court stated that the receivership had, to its observation, accomplished nothing in addition to the examination already conducted by the auditors (who, after six weeks, had failed to discover any evidence of siphoning, pilfering or wrongdoing), and this could go forward, if the Attorney General wished, without

the necessity of a Receiver. If all this were true, of course, then there never had been any need for a re-

ceivership in the first place. The Court, however, did not elaborate upon this evi-

Implication. 1 March, 1979, a hearing was held by Title to consider the specific form of the dissolution and injunctive order. Over the vigorous objections of defendants counsel, the Court adopted, in large part, a form of order drafted by the Attorney General that was, if possible, even more oppressive than the original receivership rder. It ordered the Church to give to the Attorney General's auditors the entire computerized data base, laying bare all Church records, whether private; privileged, confidential or otherwise, in a anner that would have prevented the Church from even knowing what informa-tion had been taken. For example, the order required the Church to furnish, on an exclusive 24-hour-access basis, two rooms in its security data processing center and to install there two con center and to install there two copy machines, together with a computer terminal giving direct on-line access to the entire data base. The Court further ordered the Church to prepare and deliver to the Attorney General a complete tape copy of its entire computerized data base in form suitable for use on the Attorney General's computers!

(f) Reimposition of Receivership

Counsel for the Church promptly ap-pealed from the injunction order signed by Judge Title on 2 March, 1979. A more moderate, protectively phrased form of order proposed by them had been ignored, order proposed by them had been ignored, and their protests at the oppressive nature and the utter lack of the most elementary constitutional and proceedural protection in the order adopted were summarily de-nied. The Church's appeal had the effect of automatically staying the mandatory portions of the injunctive order (i.e., those requiring affirmative action by the defendants, such as the furnishing of computer terminals, copy machines etc.). This rendered its key provisions in effectual pending the o ne of the ap

On Monday, 12 March, 1979 number of motions came on for hearing before Title, including the deferred hear-ing on Judge Weisman's proposed ac-counting. After having disposed of these counting. After having disposed of these matters, the Court, on its own motion, without notice, hearing or opportunity to prepare a defense or otherwise be heard, and on the basis of no new evidence save the notice of appeal itself, ordered the receivership reimposed on the Church and its related organizations. Title appointed is related organizations. The appointed one David Ray (whom he had requested abead of time to be there, and who was present throughout the proceedings), as the new Receiver.

The Court acknowledged that defen-ants had a right to take an appeal and did cants had a right to take an appeal and out not "find that taking the appeal in and of itself ... (was) per se violative of ... any order made by the Court" but that its practical effect was to frustrate the Court's order permitting the audit. 43 This, commented the Court, "has to make a reasonable mind suspicious that

perhaps someone out there doesn't want that audit, for whatever the reason." 44 In other ords, even though one con

scientiously believes that one has a cot scientiously believes that one has a con-stitutional right not to be audited and re sists on that basis, that is enough to make Judge Title suspicious! This one state-ment, better than anything else, reinment, better man anyoning, even of forces the implication, which leaps out of the record, that the action taken by the Court was stallatory in nature and in-Court was retaliatory in nature and in tended to punish the defendants for exer cising their right of appeal.

In the course of the same proceeding Judge Title set \$1 million as the amount of the bond required to stay the new receiv-ership order, should the defendants elect to appeal from that order also. Within a matter of days, Church members by the hundreds had pledged their homes and personal belongings to make up the req-uisite amount. The aggregate of these pledges ultimately totaled almost \$3½ million! While the Attorney General has excepted to the sufficiency of these per sonal sureties, the receivership has, for all sonal surcles, the receivership has, for all practical purposes, been suspended for the moment, pending a determination of its validity on appeal.

(g) Appellate Proceedings

Promptly following the imposition of the original receivership, counsel for the Church filed a petition in the District Court of Appeal (the State's intermediate appellate court) for an extraordinary writ directing the Court to dissolve the receiv-ership. This procedure by-passes the slower, more cumbersome regular appeal procedure and is designed to ensure speedy relief in cases that clearly requir it. At the same time they requested thi Court also to issue an immediate stay of the trial court's receivership order. ted this

The appellate court denied the applica tion for immediate stay, but kept the writ petition under consideration. The defen-dants then filed with the Supreme Court an application for an immediate stay, and the Supreme Court, in response to this, ordered the District Court of Appeal to send up the record. When this occurred, the District Court of Appeal complied, but then terminated further consideration of the pending petition by denving it, thus passing the entire matter up to the Su-preme Court. The defendants then promptly filed a petition for hearing in the Supreme Court (in California, appeal to the Supreme Court is not a matter of right - the Court takes only those cases that it ees to hear).

While this petition was pending in the While this petition was pending in the Supreme Court, two other parties filed applications with the Court urging it to grant the petition and seeking leave to enter the case so andicar curraie. You was the Washington-based "Americans Unit-ed for Separation of Church and State"; the other was the Emergency Committee for the Defense of Religious Freedom, an ad boc voluntary association of the Church's membership. The Supreme Court kept the petition under consideration for almost 60 days, finally denying it on 21 March, 1979 (by a 4 to 3 vote), after Judge Title had ap-proved the sureties on the appeal bond, and

proved the sureties on the appeal bond, and it became clear that the effectiveness of the second receivership order was stayed for the pendency of the normal appellate trict Court of Appeal's denial nor that of the Supreme Court constituted a denial of the matter on the merits and that neither of them implied any approval of what had occurred in the trial court. Actually the implication runs the other way, since the Supreme Court acted only when it becam clear that the immediate emergency had been at least moderated. Even then, three members out of seven on the Court voted to grant a hearing!

It does seem clear that neither the Dis-

(h) Related Proceedings

On 20 February, 1979, the Emergency Committee, referred to above, moved the Superior Court for leave to intervene in Superior Court for teave to intervene in the principal action. Judge Robert Well, sitting in Department 88, as described above, denied their motion on the techni-cal basis that since the Church was a charitable trust, the members had no standing to intervene. The question of the State's actions and their effect on the members' constitutional rights was not addressed or dealt with by the Court.

On 15 March, 1979, a number of California resident and taxpayer members of the Church filed a "taxpayers' suit" against the Attorney General, seeking to enjoin the use of State funds for the enjoin the use of State funds for the un-constitutional purposes evidenced in the State's action against the Church. A mo-tion for a preliminary injunction forbid-ding further proceedings by the State is presently set for hearing before Judge Vernon Foster in Department 85 of the Superior Court on Tuesday, 10 April, 1979.

A case filed by the Church and its officials in the Federal Court for the Central Clais in the Pederal Court of the central District of California under the provisions of the Federal Civil Rights Act and a re-lated application for a preliminary injunc-tion to prevent the State from proceeding tion to prevent the State trom proceeding with its action against the Church was heard before Federal Judge Robert Firth, who not only denied the application for injunctive relief, but purported to dismiss the action in its entirety upon the basis of the so-called "abstention doctrine," the so-called "abstention doctrine," which, according to Judge Firth, required Federal Courts to abstain from interfering in matters of important State policy. Once again, the Court simply declined to ad-dress itself to the grave constitutional is-sues tendered for its consideration.

A motion is currently pending before Judge Firth for a reconsideration of his dismissal order and will shortly be heard and argued.

As of the end of March 1079 then the various receivership and injunctive orders are the subject of a pending appeal to the are the subject of a pending appear to the District Court of Appeal. The Church is, for the moment, in charge of its own af-fairs, but the prohibitory portions of the Court's injunctions are still in effect, the threat of receivership still hangs over it like Damocles' sword, its credit standing here here theiring of lot eff or the dure has been shattered, at least for the dura

has been snattered, at least for the dura-tion of the litigation, and its ability to conduct its affairs seriously crippled. Any other church, except the strongest and most widely dispersed, would long since have been destroyed or rendered totally bankrupt. The Worldwide Church of God, however, its membership gal-vanized by the attack on its integrity, has

mobilized its resources and is determined to see matters through to the ultimate and inevitable appellate victory.

vn COMMENTARY (a) Church-State Separation

The first subject dealt with in the Bill of Rights is religious freedom. The Bill of Rights is religious freedom. The first words of the First Amendment of the fed-eral Constitution are: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." This priority of placement car-ries a weighty implication regarding the importance of the concept, as such, as well as its importance in the minds of the founders. The Supreme Court cases that

founders. The Supreme Court cases that have had occasion to consider and con-strue this provision have confirmed its importance as one of the foundation stones of civil liberty. The proscription was originally in-tended as a limitation on the power of the federal government. It has since been held that the Fourteenth Amendment extended this limitation to the states and made it binding upon them also (Murdock vz. Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105). We tend to bink of the First Amendment sugarances think of the First Amendment guarantee as a protection against the intrusion of government into the religious affairs of adividuals and their private institutions individuals and their private institutions of faith, but an almost equally important aspect is the reverse side of the coin; keep-ing religion out of government. Thus the wall between church and state erected by the First Amendment wards off encroachment from both directions (Everson vs. Board of Education, 330

U.S. 1). It is the first aspect, however, the pro tection against governmental invasion, that is grievously violated in the present case. That it is a violation can hardly be doubted, particularly when one reviews the language of recent Supreme Court de-cisions that emphasize that government is not only forbidden to intrude into religious organizations, but that it cannot engage in activity that even *threatens* an entanglement in church affairs or religious matters. Thus the Court has recently and em-

I nos the Court has recently and em-phatically struck down a state statute that would extend financial assistance to parochial schools (even though only in respect of instruction on secular subjects), simply because it would give the government "post-audit power to inspect and evaluate a church-related school's financial records and to determine which ex-penditures, are religious and which are secular ... "This is impermissible, even though done with the consent, or even the request of the school or church involved (Lemon vs. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602). A (Lemon v2. Kartonan, 405 0.3. 002). A similar statute was reviewed and rejected in New York vs. Cathedral Academy, 43 U.S. 125.

In this latter case the Court observed that this sort of detailed inquiry would of itself constitute a significant encroach-ment on the constitutional protections. In its most recent expression on the

subject, handed down in March, 1979. the Supreme Court once again reaffirmed and reemphasized this prohibition in holdand reemphasized this production in hold-ing that the National Labor Relations Board could have no jurisdiction over Catholic parochial schools, since so to construe the statute would necessarily bring it into conflict with the First nt. Here is what the Court says

"Rather, we make a narrow "Rather, we make a narrow inquiry whether the exercise of the Board's jurisdiction presents a significant risk that the First Amendment will be in-fringed... The resolution of such charges by the Board (of unfair labor charges by the Board (of unfair labor practices) in many instances will necessarily involve inquiry into the good faith of the position asserted by the clergy-administrators and its rela-tionship to the schools' religious mission. It is not only the conclusions that sion. It is not only the conclusions that may be reached by the Board which may infringe on rights guaranteed by the religion clauses but the very pro-cess of inquiry leading to findings and conclusions." (Emphasis added.) NLRB vs. Catholic Bishop of Chicago, March 21, 1979, Case No 77-752, at page 12 of the opinion.

This right is so important that it is pro tected not only against actual infringe ment, but against the very risk of infringe

While this discussion does not purport observed that nothing in the language of any of the Supreme Court cases says that rights so important and so fundamental in tracter are dependent upon the niceties of form or technical procedure. Nowhere is there the slightest suggestion that a church may be simply characterized as a

(Continued next page)



CHANGING LOCKS - A locksmith changes the lock on a door to the Church's Accounting Department, denying Church employees access while giving the attorney general's office representatives access to financial records

(Continued from preceding page)

charitable trust and thereafter be invaded, taken over and examined at will, or that First Amendment rights are forfeited if a church or church group chooses to incor-porate rather than to operate as a mere voluntary association

At the working, trial court level, these bedrock principles are sometimes ob-scured in the press of routine business. That, of course, is why we have appellate courts. And that, too, is why the State's position in this case must ultimately b position in this case must ultimately be rejected and overturned. What is regretta-ble for our system of justice is that such outrage, humiliation, damage and hard-ship should have been visited upon a reli-gious institution while in the very process of defending and vindicating its rights and its innoces

(b) Correction of Wrongdoing

Thoughtful individuals who are genuinely concerned about maintaining the integrity of constitutional protections may still be sympathetic to the State's claim that it was necessary to act as it did and to impose a receivership in order to "protect" the Church and to correct protect the Church and to correct wrongdoing. We will comment on the "protection" concept separately below, but we consider at this juncture the various responses to the very legitimate ques tion: What does one do if a high officer in

a church is stealing money? At the threshold, it is well to observe that constitutional guarantees are not without their price. If we were simply to discard any constitutional guarantee that, while protecting the innocent and the worthy, at the same time sheltered wrongdoing and shielded the guilty from wrongooing and smeided the guilty from justice, we would very quickly be without any guarantees whatever. All we need to do is reflect upon the number of criminals who have "taken the Fifth," or how many defendants, obviously guilty of the most revolting crimes, have been turned loose because some technical infraction of Fourth or Fifth Amendment guarantees invalidated a confession or resulted in the exclusion of competent evidence because it was obtained in the course of an illegal search or seizure. So the fact that a sex activities of the fact that a section or minister might occasionally steal or embezzle or defraud is not a justification for tearing up the First Amendment.

On the other hand, the fact that the First Amendment exists does not by any means imply or require that such conduct must otected or condoned. It should not Indeed, wrongdoing should be pursued. investigated, wrongeding should be pursued, investigated, prosecuted and, if convic-tion follows, punished, and nothing in the First Amendment or the cases suggest otherwise. No member of the Worldwide Church of God (those accused not ex Church of God (those accused not ex-cepted) has suggested that wrongdoing in that Church, or any other church, is clothed by the First Amendment with immunity from prosecution. It is important, however, to make a

very important distinction: Crimes and very important distinction: Crimes are committed not by institutions (or churches) but by *individuals*. If individual wrongdoing has been committed, then the individuals responsible should be investi-gated, and if there is evidence that they have committed crimes, whether embez-zlement, fraud, theft or whatever, they should be prosecuted. Furthermore, the State does not need

the powers it claims to have under Section 9505 in order to investigate and pursue S205 in order to investigate and pursue wrongdoing. It already possesses the means necessary to deal with such con-duct, as well as the tools by which to develop evidence of such wrongdoing if it exists. All the State has to do is to convene exists. All the state has to do is to convene a grand jury and subpoent a witnesses, rec-ords and other competent evidence, under accepted rules of procedure and subject to trecognized safeguards accorded in such proceedings with respect to privileged matters and constitutional rights. There is no reason whatever why this could no have been done in the present case, and there has been no justifiable explanation offered as to why it was not done. This suggests that the Attorney General simply soggess that the set work of the set of the

perhaps brought into somewhat better focus if one but asks, What if this had been Stanford University, whose princi-pal officer was accused of stealing or pil-fering? Or a major bank? Can one imagine that the Attorney General, instead of investigating and prosecuting the individual officer, would attempt to throw the university or the bank into receivership

A prosecutor would normally seek the cooperation of the employees and officers of the organizations. He would investigate, build a case against the individuals and prosecute them. Even so, we frequently read of embez zlements that go unpunished simply be cause the bank or university or other in stitution, as a matter of policy, does not wish to undergo the notoriety and public embarrassment at having one of its officers prosecuted or to incur the risk of possibly being sued for damages at some later date, should the accused by acquitted.

One need only apply these same princi-ples to a church in order to bring the picture back into normal focus and perspective. This perspective is reinforced when we recall that religious institution unlike commercial ones, are protected by the First Amendment.

(c) 'What Do You Have to Hide?' Another line of thought that flows naturally from this situation, in the mind of the detached observer, frequently runs like this: If there has been no wrongdoing, and the Church's finances are in order

why is it fighting so hard to prevent an audit? Does it have something to hide? What is it attempting to cover up? This is a natural, but insidious line of reasoning and one that the State has ac-tively encouraged in this case. There are

several answers to these questions First we might observe that there are many things that people do not besitate to do on a voluntary basis (that is, when it is their option to do it or not to do it), but that their option to do it or not to do it), but that they resist when someone applies force, wrongfully and against their will. For ex-ample, many people voluntarily contrib-ute to the Community Chest, the Red Cross and other good works. They would undoubtedly, however, refuse or resist it someone attempted to force them to do so at the point of a gun. By the same token, an individual might make available per-sonal financial information, which he was under no obligation to disclose, on a vol-untary basis, but resist such disclosure it someone attempted to extract it from him by putting his arm in a hammerlock. There is a more subtle danger involved

in this process also, particularly in the case of individual rights. If one yields and acquiesces in the demand, even though illegal or unconstitutional, he may later be held to have *waived* his rights and his protection by the very act of cooperating.

So at the outset, the Church and the so at the outset, the Church and the individuals in this case were presented with a cruel dilemma: They had been pub-licly accused by the State, in the most gross and intemperate terms, of wholesale theft. These charges were endlessly repeated in the public press and ultimatel became imbedded in the public con sciousness to the extent that the very mer tion of the Church or the individuals in volved evoked an assumption of their guilt. Yet there was no evidence to sup port these charges, and the evidence to sup-port these charges, and the evidence to establish their innocence lay in the im-peccable financial records that they had kept and maintained. Nevertheless the State had wrongfully and illegally seized those records and was systematically those records and was systematically pouring through them, exposing and ex-tracting information of every kind and description, including matters having nothing to do with financial data and that, by every settled law and standard, were entitled to absolute protection, such as lawyer-client correspondence, priest-penitent communications, membership lists, tithing records and so on.

The Church, which had voluntarily cooperated with the IRS on repeated or casions in the past and had, as a conse-quence, thoroughly satisfied the federal government that its financial housekeep-ing was in perfect order and demonstrated that it had no need or wish to conceal, was confronted with an entirely different s ation in dealing with the State of Califor

The Attorney General, who w have received the same cooperation had he proceeded in the same manner as the IRS, instead chose to assume an adver sary stance: He put a gun to the Church's bead and forcibly seized its property and records. Having been forced by the State into a litigation posture, the Church had no choice but to play by those rules, since by failing to do so, it risked the waiver of its own rights and risked having its con-duct construed as an admission that the State's action was legitimate, that it had a right to do what it was doing. In othe ords, the Church was damned if it did and damned if it did not

The gross unfairness of this situation is demonstrated by the fact that the Church's books were in exemplary shape, its accounting system was exceptionally mod ern and up to date and its internal and external controls were comprehensive and thoroughly professional. Further-more the foundation filed detailed financial reports annually with the Attorney General, which are a matter of public rec-ord, and the college and Church both filed

al inform tion docu orts with the Franchise Tax Board. A substantial amount of information was regularly dis closed, as a matter of course, and of record publicly

As pointed out above, the Attorney General, if he had honestly wished only to uncover evidence of individual wrongdoing and either to establish its exister his satisfaction or rule it out, could easily have sought the voluntary cooperation of other Church officials or, failing to obtain this, have convened a grand jury to compel the production of evidence

So the bottom line answer to the all mportant question is: No! Neither the Church not the individuals had or has anything to hide, nor have they ever al-tempted to hide it. On the other hand, they do have a great deal of importance to protect: their names, their reputations their integrity and the integrity of their Church, its very livelihood and well-being, all of which have been macerated by the Attorney General's unwarranted

Americans are known for their sense of sportmanship and fair play. Thus know-ing the facts of this case, fair-minded men can only be deeply offended by the sug gestion that the defendants' legal res tance to unprovoked and unwarranted at-tack is itself evidence that they have something to hide. They must also reflect thoughtfully upon the fact that rights of thoughtuly approximate fact that rights of individual privacy don't mean very much, if public branding is to be the inevitable consequences of their assertion. It should also be borne in mind that despite the State's tactics, the Church and

its officials, without waiving their basic rights, have formally made available to rights, have formally made available to the Attorney General the audited state-ments for the Church, college and founda-tion during the years mentioned in the complaint and have, furthermore, for-mally offered, on a consensual and volumtary basis, to make available to the Attor ney General the results of the audited examination currently being carried out by Arthur Andersen & Co., when it is com-

(d) The Bottom Line

The specific allegations, which the Ar-torney General urged upon Judge Pacht and which, according to the record, persuaded him to act in the first instance, proved to be untrue. Not only did the Attorney General not have evidence to support them, but one of his informatis states that on the morning of the same day one of his deputies was affirmatively ad

one of his deputies was aftirmatively ad-vised that the principal allegations were unsupported and untrue. The dark charges of shredding, destruc-tion and carrying off of records have evaporated for lack of any credible proof and in the further light of testimony by the Receiver's own auditors that the Church's computer operation is "one of the most modern on the West Coast."

No evidence has been produced to monstrate that Mr. Armstrong or Mr. so evidence has been produced to demonstrate that Mr. Armstrong or Mr. Rader or Mr. Helge has "siphoned" or "pilfered" anything. In fact the Receiver's counsel found it necessary, specifically and formally, to refute Deputy Attorney General Tapper's gratuitous "kickback" accusation against Ralph Helge

The highly respected national acc ing firm of Peat, Marwick & Mitchell, retained by the Receiver, spent a month and a half auditing the financial records of the Church, the college and the founda-tion, and, through the date of their withdrawal, were unable to offer any support

drawat, were unable to offer any support to the State's charges: It seems fairly evident by this time that the Attorney General never did have any credible evidence of pilfering or siphon-ing or wrongdoing on any scale, let alone on the "massive scale" claimed, amounting to "several millions."

It is also apparent that even if evidence of individual wrongdoing had existed, the State's law enforcement agencies posessed ample means to pursue, uncover and prosecute individual wrongdoing, with-out the necessity for attacking the Church, throwing it into receivership, isolating its members, seizing its property and rec-ords, destroying its credit and financial standing and blackening its reputation.

But if none of this was necessary to But it none of this was necessary to correct wrongdoing, what then was the Attorney General really seeking to achieve by the spectacular coup d'etat he mounted in order to scize possession of the Worldwide Church of God? The answer is that he, the Attorney General, is attempting to establish the transfer of the semilar bulance in to

State's right to regulate religion in the state of California. What he really seeks to do is to set "reasonable" limits on what churches shall be allowed to pay their ministers and other officials, to de which activities are and which are not

reasonably related to the church's stated purposes and which, therefore, may legitimately be made the subject of church expenditure.

Just as the Public Utilities Com regulates utilities, the Coastal Commis-sion regulates the use of property in the sion regulates the use of property in the coastal zone, the ICC regulates the trans-portation industry, the Attorney General seeks to review and determine what prop-erty churches may or may not sell and for what prices, what contracts they may and may not enter into and with whom, what properties they may or may not remove from the state of California (according to him, such property belongs to the people of California, even though it may have been contributed by people from all over the world!).

This is what emerges from the rather frightening concepts articulated by the State's representatives in this case, consistently and repeatedly, as emanating from the charitable trust concept. We have here more than a mere "risk"

of infringement of First Amendment of infringement of Pirst Amendment rights. We have the ultimate abridgment and destruction of them. It is not the "camel's nose" under the tent; it is the camel's total occupation of the tent and the disposession of its inhabitants.

This is the bottom line: the true significe of Section 9505 and the charitable trust concept.

(e) The Necessity for 'Protection'

"Protection" was the ultimate justifi-cation urged by the Attorney General for the appointment of a Receiver — "protec-tion and preservation of the Church's as-sets." Viewing the devastation wrought Viewing the devastation wrough by this "protective" invasion, one might be moved to speculate on what additional damage the State's representatives could have done even had they not been so be-

nevolently motivated. The founders of this Republic were moved to erect the First Amendment protective barriers simply because the memories were long, and they clearly realized, with a cold chill, what inevitably results from the iron grip of the State"

"protective" embrace. If history teaches anything, it is the bloody lesson that in religious wars and struggles, more death, suffering and persecution is meted out under the claim of "protection" than almost any other

When.Tomas Torquemada was apply-ing the thumbscrew and breaking bodies upon the rack in the service of the Spanish Inquisition, he was not wreaking yen geance upon these hapless souls or to ing them because he hated them. He ng the attempting to protect them from their own error and wickedness and to save them for their ultimate salvation. Bloody Marv. when she brought fire and destruction upon England's Protestants, did so under the same soiled banner of protection as, in like manner, "did Oliver Cromwell a hundred years later in his equally bloody on of the Catholics in Ireland suppress and England

and England. To the extent that these lessons of his-tory are lost, it will be necessary, as George Santayana observed, to repeat them. Perhaps the spectacle that has been acted out in California in recent months will serve to jog men's memories and reawaken their vigilance in this respect. If so, then the damage that has been done

there may not be altogether in vain. The 'protection'' exercised in this case, consistent with historical example, has been wielded with a cynicism border-ing on the vengeful, and its net effect has been to damage and destroy. Warren Abbeen to damage and destroy. Warren Ad-bott, a senior assistant Attorney General, was asked by a reporter for the Los Angeles *Times* whether all of this "tpro-tection" 'didn' come at a rather high price for the Worldwide Church of God, suggesting that by the time the Church had paid the staggering bill for such protec worth protecting. Abbott admitted that this was a possibility. But if that happens, he added, it is the Church's fault for resist-

ing, not ours. These attitudes and conseq not exceptional; they are typical. They are the inevitable results that flow when church and state become entangled, as history demonstrates, if we would but heed it.

(f) The Tactical Initiative

One final word with respect to the tax Use mail word with respect to the tac-tics that were pursued by the State. In retrospect, it may be seen that the grounds urged for the imposition of the receiver-ship were wholly unfounded. This fact tells us something about the importance attached, in the Attorney General's mind, to the tactical advantage of seizure and possession, which, as we know, is "nine tenths of the law."

So it proved in this case. The mere fact So it proved in this case. For here fact that the Church had been placed in receiv-ership upon the application of the State in and of itself spoke volumes to those who were uninformed as to the true facts in the case. Clearly, there must have been some case: crearly inter must have been some thing wrong or something fishy, people must have thought, for a Court to take such drastic action, particularly on an ex parte basis, without notice or hearing. Fur-General would reason, the Attorney General would never make and publicize such shocking charges unless he had some evidence to back them up. These are the inferences that flow naturally from the mere fact of the Receiver's appointment, standing alone.

The momentum created by that single act has carried this action along implaca bly, cutting down before it every defense that the Church has attempted to raise on the merits.

Ultimately, of course, the State cannot prevail, but only because this particular Church refused to roll over and play dead. It resisted and will continue to resist, but It resisted and will continue to resist, but this is something it can do only because the Church's leadership has the over-whelming support of its members, and the major part of this constituency lies outside the state of California. Were it a small church or one wholly within the state, it ould by this time have succumbed to the would by this time nave succurated to the onslaught, and hardly a ripple would be left to mar the surface and mark the point where the victim went under. This lesson should not be lost in recalling this case.

The State struck in a manner that was calculated to knock out the victim and kill its resistance before it could mount a de fense or establish its innocence. Under ordinary circumstances, the victim would never have had the opportunity to do so.

Unless intelligent and reasonable men recall these things and determine to pre-vent their repetition, they will occur again, for this is the very nature of the State. And this, of course, is why the constitutional protection was originally needed . . . and still is.

SOURCES REFERRED TO IN TEXT

I Transcript of Statement by Rafael Chodos to Church Members and Employees at Ambassador Auditorium on Jan. 4, 1979 oyees at Ambass n. 4, 1979, p. 1. 2 *Id.*, p. 2. *3 Id.*, p. 3.

4 Transcript of Statements by Receiver and Deputy Attorney General Tapper to Church Members and Employees at Am-bassador Auditorium on Jan. 4, 1979, p.

5 Id. 6 Id.

7 Id., p. 8. 8 Excerpts from Transcript of Proceed-ings Before Judge Title on Jan. 10, 1979, pp. 7-15 (Chodos), pp. 7-8.

9 Id., p. 12.

10 Receiver and Tapper Statements, p. 11

11 Deputy Attorney General Tapper's iterview, Channel 4, p. 3. 12 Jan. 2, 1979, Reporter's Transcript Interv

Before Judge Pacht, pp. 8-9. efore judge Pacht, pp. 8-9. 13 Id., p. 9. 14 Id., p. 3. 15 Pacht on Jan. 2, pp. 3-4. 16 Title on Jan. 10, p. 8. 17 Receiver and Tapper Statements,

- pp. 11-12.

18 Title on Jan. 12, p. 289. 19 Title on Jan. 10, p. 9. 20 Title on Jan. 12, p. 386. 21 Receiver and Tapper Statements, p. 11

22 Id., p. 12. 23 Title on Jan. 12, pp. 388-389. 24 Reporter's Transcript of Proceed-ings Before Judge Title on Feb. 21, p.

25 R. Chodos on Jan. 4, p. 1.

26 Id., p. 3. 27 Title on Jan. 10, p. 8. 28 Id., p. 9. 29 Id., p. 11.

30 Id., p. 12.

31 Id., p. 13. 32 Tapper Interview, p. 3. 33 Reporter's Transcript of Proceed-ings Before Judge Title on Feb. 13, 1979.

p.

34 Release & Statement by J. Gordon 34 Release & Statement by J.
 Melton, ISAR, p. 1.
 35 Pacht on Jan. 2, p. 7.
 36 Id., p. 2.
 37 Id., p. 6.
 38 Title, on Jan. 12, p. 400.

 Jo Tine, on Jan. 12, p. 400.
 Jo H., pp. 386-387.
 Title on Feb. 21, pp. 135-136.
 Pacht on Jan. 2, p. 4.
 Title on Jan. 12, p. 386.
 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Before Judge Title on March 12, 1070 n. 43. 979. p. 43. 44 Id., p. 44. 1979

The

Worldwide Church of God Has Nothing to Hide – **But Much to Protect!**

ONE OF THE more absurd, false allegations made by the Attorney General in his efforts to destroy the Worldwide Church of God has been the alleged failure of the Church, Ambassador College and the Ambassador Inter-national Cultural Foundation to render an accounting.

ALL FINANCIAL

TRANSACTIONS REPORTED This accusation is particularly

surprising, in that each corporation has filed with the State of California an annual accounting on forms pre-scribed by the State and in the detail required by those forms.

Specifically, Ambassador Interna tional Cultural Foundation files with the Attorney General's office an annual, detailed report (Form CT-2) listing, among other things: the total compensation of each offi-cer, director, and key employees; every transaction with each officer or director including any extensions of credit or sales of properties; each transaction involving the purchase or sale of a security or investment including the cost, fair market value, and any gain or loss on the trans-action; all distributions to other charities or other recipients; and a balance sheet and income state-ment, as well as other financial disclosures. This report, although not required to be audited, is audited by independent certified public ac-countants, and their report, together with the Foundation's report, are public documents available for pub-lic inspection. Moreover, the Foundation also files an annual statement with the State of California Department of Justice showing each Cali-fornia financial institution (and account number) with which it does business and authorizes disclosure to the Attorney General of any and all financial records pertaining to the Foundation held by such financial institutions

sador College files a similarly Ambassador College files a similarly detailed accounting each year with the State of Californis Franchise Tax Board. Its latest audited report was a 52-page document that required over 250 hours to prepare and which, listed each transaction with asch officer and director, as well as other pertinent infor-mation such as description of each piece of real or personal property sold during the year along with the buyer's name and relationship, the historical cost, fair market value, expenses of sale, and group market value, expenses of sale, and gross sales price

CHURCH FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES PUBLICLY AVAILABLE

Both the Foundation and the College Both the Foundation and the College file identical disclosures with the federal government and all of these documents are available for public inspection. The Worldwide Church of God also files an annual information return with the Franchise Tax Board, although the State has about the second second black State has chosen to require fewer disclo-sures of religious institutions.

In each case, the level of detail re-In each case, the level of detail re-quired to be presented in these account-ings is dictated by the State and in every instance we have compiled fully with fich requests for accountings. These ac-countings are available to all contribu-tors and potential contributors. They are available to the news media. They are most certainly available to the At-torney General. torney General.

CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY EVIDENT

EVIDENT Therefore, it is clearly a malicious abuse of the legal process for the At-torney General to state falsely that no accounting has ever been made or that financial disclosures have been incom-plete. Because the Attorney General knew these allegations were false, both before a complaint was filed as well as throughout the court proceedings, we can only conclude that such false charges are further evidence of the charges are further evidence of the criminal conspiracy to deprive us of our rights, under almighty God. WHY FIGHT?

Thus we have never had anything to

hide. We still have nothing to hide. At no time did the Church, College or no time did the Church, College or Foundation fail directly or indirectly to cooperate with any requests made by the State of California through the At-torney General's office or any other agency of the State Government to ex-amine the activities of the various enti-ties. But, the Attorney General did not ask for the examination! He invaded, threatening to confiscate. And we had no choice but to defend a lawauit con-taining outrameous, false and defmantotaining outrageous, false and defamato-ry allegations about the Church and its leadership.

leadership. As long as the State of California re-mains a plaintiff, and a self-appointed and self-designated essary and adversa-ry of the Worldwide Church of God and its brethren, the Church and its breth-ren have no reasonable alternative but to defend vigorously, resourcefully and diligently all of its rights, constitutional and statutory.

WHY, THEN, DO WE FIGHT SO HARD?

BU BARLUT The answer is clear and simple: We fight because the State's actions strike at the very core of the freedoms guaran-teed by the Constitution; indeed, the very freedoms that this country's foun-ders were denied in their homelands and sought to enjoy in the New World.

OUR IMPERILED FREEDOMS

What are these freedoms that the Church is trying so hard to protect, and how do the State's actions imperil

now do the States actions imperit them? (1) The right to worship God and car-ry out His Work in one's own way, free from governmental interference. This necessarily includes the right of the Church and its leaders to control how God's money—Lithes and other offer-ing--should be used. The State's posi-tion, on the other hand, is that it, through a court appointed receiver, should be the final arbiter of how Church funds should be expended. (2) The right to worship and contrib-ute in freedom and in privacy. The State, however, contrary to longstand-ing principles of constitutional law, in-

ciples of constit nal law, in ing principles of constitutional law sists that it has the right to learn

Church members are and how much they contribute.

(3) The right to freely communicate with Church leaders. The State, how-ever, has recelled mailings from the Pastor General of the Church to Church

members. (4) The right to have Church docu-ments dealing with ecclesinstical mat-ters, membership lists, ministry lists, communications between clergymen and Church members, and con cations between the Church and its attorneys, remain inviolate. The Sta however, demands that it be given al these documents without any object en all of

these documents without any con-or safeguards. (5) The right to maintain the Church as a hierarchical entity. The State, in essence, has sought to make the Church congregational in governance.

WITCH-HUNT

WITCH-HUNT We are not Don Quisotes fancifully tilting at windmilla. The danger is clear and present. The intrusion of the State is massive and ominous. The so-called is massive and ominous. The so-called the state of the so-called the state is an end to the so-called the so-religious organization is safe; we all, therefore, musit work to hait the rising tide which, if left unchecked, will enode the cornentone of religious freedom. All Americans must be committed to the preservation of the integrity of our religious institutions and the freedom to exercise our religious rights. How can you help—what can you as

How can you help-what can you as an individual do?

an individual do? Let everyone know that you as an American will not stand by and allow our freedoms to be so callously sub-verted. Contact your church pastor, write your congressman, write your sen-ator, contact the media and let your view be known! For more information on how you can be more travelved in your own, communi-ty complete the coupon below and re-turn to: Worldwide Church of God, Box 111, Pasadema, CA 91123.

111. Pasadena, CA 91123.



	CLIP THIS COUPON AND MAIL TO:
	Worldwide Church of God, Box 111, Pasadena, CA 91123
	/ · · ·
Plea	se send me more information on how I can let my views on religiour
freed	fom be known in my community.
Nam	ие
Add	ress
City	/State/Zip

Paid for by the Emergency Committee for the Defense of Raligious Freedom

ANNOUNCEMENTS

BIRTHS

ABERNATHY, Lamar and Pam (Notris), of Rome, Ga., boy, James Michael, Dec. 28, 10:15 p.m., 8 pounds 1 ounce, now 2 boys, 1 girl.

NDERSON, Victor and Margaret (Romanel d Bridgeport, Conrl., boy, Matthew Mark, Ma , 5:24 a.m., 7 pounds 10 ounces, now 2 ho BEVERLY, James and Mary (Van Dyke), of Melbourne, Fla., girl, Mary Elisabeth, Jan. 5, 2:12 a.m., 9 pounds 8 ounces, now 1 boy, 2 girla.

BRAIDIC, A Calif., boy. Art and Yvonne (Notch), of Torrance, , Michael John, March 4, 12 p.m., 8 3 ounces, now 2 boys, 1 dkl.

Guy and Barbara (Conley), of Call, boy, Gary Franklin, March 5 9 pounds, now 1 how 1 and Pasadena. 9:22 p.m., heel and June, of Hull, Engla an, March 2, 7 pounds 2 ouno

and Scherry (Skaggs), of y, Jared Dale, Feb. 15, 7:56 COLEMAN ry and Dottay (Wilson), of girl, Cherie Ann, Feb. 3, 6:49

FITZPATRICK, Thomas and Diana (Holmes), of Long Island, N.Y., boy, Timothy Michael, Feb. 28, 11:32 p.m., 9 pounds, new 2 boys.

. Australia, boy, Travis Lindsay ach 7, 2:15 p.m. 8 pounds 2 ouroot

Craig and Janet (Pentin), of Kansas girl, JoLynda Kelly, Dec. 14, 12:50 and Dianne, of Barrie, Ont., boy, as, Feb. 15, 10:23 p.m., 9 pounds

ert and Jenny (Newton), of Perth. John Arthur, Jan. 28, 3:54 p.m., 5 man. first child

Earl and Rosemarie (W Calif., girl, Angela Michelle eed), o

Marc and Carolyn (Lang), of , boy, Jarod Daniel, March 16,

harles and Suzan lo, girl, Genise Evo

niel and Vicky (Me Ohio, boy, Daniel Alia 2081), 0 Feb. 15 PELS, Pau

and Lynda (Denake), of Watford, Geoffrey Wayne, March 6, 12:26 in and Carole (Harrison), o onn., girl, Donna Ranee, March 14 Bridger

fark and Barbara (Hoover), of Eugena Heather Starr, Feb. 20, 1:52 p.m., 7 ounces, now 1 boy, 1 ori. . pir lephen and Dee (Greene), of ra. girl, Eitzabeth Danielle, Jan. 27.

STEED bert and Aneita (Willia N.C., boy, Brandon Nicol n., 6 pounds 11/2 ounces, fil Haymond and Terrie (Sager), ille, Ala., boy, Matthew Nathaniel, Mar p.m., 6 pounds 15 ounces, new 2 hor Gayles

THOMAS, Benjamin and Danala (Butler), of Gary, Ind., girl, Benjanette Yvette, March 2, 10:35 a.m. 6 pounds 13 ounces, now 2 boys, 1 girl. TROUT, Richard and Edith (Metheny), of Kingwood, W.Va., boy, Benjamin Michael, March 13, 1:52 p.m., 8 pounds 61/s ounces, now 1 boy, 3

WANT YOUR PHOTOS **RETURNED?**

If you want your photos from "Local Church News," "Announcements," the baby coupon or feature articles returned, please in-clude a self-addressed stamped envelope with the pictures. Write your name and address on the back of each photo with a felt-tip pen or use a gummed label, as the pressure from writing with a pen or pencil fre-quently damages the image on the reverse side. We also recommend including a piece of cardboard roughly equal in size to the inside dimensions of the return envelope for protection of your photos in the mail. These steps will greatly reduce expenses and time on our part and insure that your photos are returned un-damaged. We thank you in advance for your cooperaWRIGHT, Gordon and Millie (Wiggins), of Kelowna, B.C., girl, Ellen Rosalie, Feb. 26, 8 pounds 7 ounces, now 1 boy, 2 pilts.

ENGAGEMENTS

Carl Burquist, an Aribassador College graduati from Mobile, Ala., and Teresa Philips, an Ambassador College student from San Angelo Tax, are happy to amounce their engagement Their wedding is scheduled for June. WEDDINGS

ol, Mrt. and and Mrs. W in marriage in the V perstown, Md., Feb. 25. of the Hagerstow d in Terry and d the



「魚」。 MR. AND MRS. C. GUNDERS Mrs and Cl and M

Feb. 18.



MR. AND MRS. RAYMOND HOOKS

AND NITHER AND A CONTRACT AND A CONT ond Hooks the straininge an dole, pastor of the San source of the San Source of the San source of honor and Lerby Hooks best man, honor and Lerby Hooks best man, the dole and the source of the the source of the San Source of the the source of the San Source of the holds in San Diego.





MR. AND MRS. L. BURCHFIELD

Mr. and Mrs. Frank Appleton of Long Beset. Calf., and Mr. and Mrs. Lewrence Buchfield of Morris, Okia, announce the marriage of their children, Julie Diane and Lerry Lynn. Feb. 25 in a ceremony in the Outeen Mary Wedding Chapel, Long Besch. The ceremony was performed by Roland Clair, minister at Long Beach. Maid of bonor was Uvian Gonzalez and best man was Kin Morth. The couple will be thing in Morts.

ANNIVERSARIES

Kiwis, Joy Zealand, Lo Dad, Mum. Amy ond anniversary March 27 to our tw y and Graeme, in Auckland, Ne ots of love from all at home in loswic h, Lex, Margaret, Kerry, Elaine ar

Happy second anniversary. Lex and Margaret April 11. Wishing you many happy years together. Lots of love from all the family, Dad

Obituaries

BROOKLYN, N.Y. — Manuel H. Paz, 51, a member of God's Church since 1963 and a deacon for many years in the New York area churches, died after a long illness March 10.

illness March 10. Mr. Paz is survived by his wife Ragn-hild; three daughters, Christine Dean of East Haven, Conn., Karen Kurzawa of Austin, Tex., and Evelyn; these sons, Wil-liam, Donald and David; and six grandchild

DOVER, Ark. — Allen W. New, 75, died March 4. He was a retired timber-man. Fred Kellers, pastor of the Little Rock, Ark., church, officiated.

ROCE, ATK., CDUCC, Officiated. Mr. New its survived by his wife Martha; three sons (one son, Scyrell New, is a member of God's Church); four daughters; three stepsons; two stepdaugh-ters; one brother; one sister; 42 grandchil-dren; 20 great-grandchildren; and two great-great-grandchildren;

GLADEWATER, Tex. - Matthew Steinke died bere in an industrial accident March 7. He graduated from Afnbassador College in Big Sandy, Tex., in 1977. He was buried in his hon own in Bridgman, Mich

Mr. Steinke is survived by his father Manfred Steinke; his mother Ruth; three brothers, Klaus, Ronald and Peter; and two sisters, Deborah and Monica.

PINE BLUFF. Ark - Ora Belle Carter, a member of God's Church for many years, died March 21. She was the widow of Joseph Carter.

Mrs. Carter is survived by two sons five daughters, 29 grandchildren, 38 great-grandchildren, and three great-great-grandchildren. eat-ga

TULSA, Okla. - Bertha Fitchpatrick, 1, a member of God's Church for 26 years, died here Nov. I after a heart at-tack. Before moving to Oklahoma in

gious fervor had begun to sweep Israel's West Bank territories. Word brought through Gaza claims that a holy man in Saudi Arabia has predicted that the day of judgment could come this year. This prediction - no doubt with the half a million men, 5,000-odd tanks and the 2,000 air-craft of the united Arab eastern front in mind — is being taken seriously by Arab villages throughout the West Bank

another explosion, American influence in the region has never been

powder keg again threatens to ex-plode, American prestige and will to act in the region have never been seen so low. Yet never have the stakes been so high. The next Middle East war will certainly see the collapse of oil supplies from Saudi Arabia and the Gulf States, either from Israeli destruction or internal upheaval fol-

patched, three further pieces of news confirm the imminent danger. On March 17 the Damascus newspaper Tishrin, the official mouthpiece of the Syrian government, and that



BIRTH ANNOUNCEMENT THE WORLDWIDE NEWS BOX 111 PASADENA, CALIF., 9112

Cur coupon baby this is ENA, CALIF., 91123, U.S.A. Dean, daughter of Kevin

Last name	4	Father's fi	rst name	Mothe	e's first name	
Mother's maiden r	name*	Church are	a or city of	residenci	e/state/country	
Baby's sex	Bab	Baby's first and middle names				
Ionth of birth Day of month		of month		DA.M.	Weight	
No. of sons you n		No, of daughters you now have				

BIRTH ANNOUNCEMENT

1967, she attended the New York church from its beginning in 1959. She observed her 23rd Feast of Tabernacles last year in

Scattle, Wash. Mrs. Fitchpatrick is survived by her daughter, Margaret Shadrick, a brother in California, four nephews and one niece.

WEST POINT, Ga. — Annie Bell Sims, 74, a member of God's Church since 1971, died of a beart attack Dec. 2. Otto Lochner, pastor of the Warner Rob-ins, Ga., church, officiated Mrs. Sims is survived by her husband E Sims in a member four children

E.F. Sims, also a member; four children; 17 grandchildren; 27 great-grandchildren; two great-great-grandchildren; and two two great-great-gran

WHEELING, W.Va. - Emma Don-ley Horstman, 85, died at her bome

the signing of an Egyptian-Israeli peace treaty would "leave no choice to the confrontation states of the northern front but to go to war." The same day, the Kuwaiti daily As-Siyassah claimed that three Iraqi divisions had moved into Syria within the previous week!

Israeli response to these moves was swift. The same evening military sources quoted by Israel television predicted that the signing of the peace treaty would spark hostilities

March 19. A member of God's Church since 1962, she attended the Akron, Ohio, church until the Wheeling church Ohio, church until the Wheeling church began in 1966. Lyall Johnston, pastor of the church here, officiated. Mrs. Horstman is survived by nine children, 26 - grandchildren; 60 great-grandchildren and four great-

and Carol Dean

great-grandchildren. One daughter, Mary Burley of Wheeling, and two granddaughters, Gladys Mardis of Cleve-land, Ohio, and Vickie Kocher of Wheeling, are Church members.

Mail your announce-ments to: Announcements, The Worldwide News, Box 111, Pasadena, Calif., 91123, U.S.A.

on the eastern front with Syria while PLO leader Yasser Arafat told the PLD leader Tasser Artait tojd ine Pairs-basic international supplement of the Beirut weekly Al-Nahar that "the whole Middle East will ex-plode" once the peace pact is signed. The prophet Jeremiah lamented over Judah: "Ah Lord [Eternal]! Surely thou hast greatly deceived this people and Jerusalem, saying. Ye shall have peace; whereas the sword reacheth unto the soul" (Jeremiah 4:10).

FESTIVAL MAGAZINE STAFF SOLICITS IDEAS, CONTRIBUTIONS

Do you have a photograph you think would be just right for Fall Festival, the magazine distributed at the Feast of Taberna-cles? Is there some place you visited at the Feast last year you would like to see spotlighted in the magazine? Do you have ideas you would like to share on ways of upgrading the magazine in its service to the brethren of God's Church? If so, the editorial staff of Fall Festival '79 magazine would like your input of ideas for this year's edition.

Once again the Festival Department will pay \$35 for each photograph selected to be used in the publication. The deadline for submission of photos for consideration this year is June 1. If you have any 35-mm. or 21/4-inch color slides of points of in-terest at last year's Feast or of any Festival activities, international sites included, and you would like to go professional just one time, be sure and share your shots with the Feast magazine.

How about that quaint little shop you thought was so nice or that little out-of-the-way restaurant that had such good food? These are the types of places the magazine would like to know about

The magazine staff is also interested in ideas for any changes in the magazine that might increase its service value, especially feature ideas, helpful hints and information about your Festival area

All photos, ideas and information or any other correspondence concerning the Festival magazine should be mailed as soon as possible to: Ken Tate, Publications Editor, Worldwide Convention Service, 300 W. Green St., Pasadena, Calif., 91123. If you want photographic contributions returned, please include a self-addressed stamped envelope and appropriate packing materials.

13

71, a mer Treaty

(Continued from page 16)

Yet as the Middle East approaches

ver, despite the so-called triumph of the Israel-Egypt treaty. After the recent visit of U.S. Defense Secre-tary Harold Brown, the Saudis fanatical anticommunists though they are — delivered an unprec-edented snub to their American ally. Saudi Foreign Minister Prince Saud Faysal told the Beirut magazine Al-Hawadess in an early March interview that Riyadh (capital of Saudi Arabia) is willing to resume diplomat-ic relations with the Soviet Union.

Stakes are high

Therefore, while the Middle East

lowing such a war. Just before this report was dis-

LOCAL CHURCH NEWS

CHURCH ACTIVITIES

While visiting BARBADOS March 9 through 14, Caribbean regional director Stan Bass and Carlos Nieto, pastor of the Bridgetown, Barbados, and Castries, St. Lucia, churches, ordained Marva Lorraine Brown to the office of dea Mrs. Brown was baptized in 1967 in En-gland, where she lived for 10 years. In 1968 she returned to Barbados, her native home, She has two sons, Paul, 18, and Peter, 12.

The Caribbean island of ST. LUCIA now has its first deacon. Lucius Joseph, a



STUDENTS OF SPANISH - Stan Bass holds an engraved plaque and his wife a bouquet of roses presented to them by members of the San Juan, Puerto Rico, church Feb. 10 in recognition of their achievement and dedication in learning Spanish, the country's principally spoken language. Mr. Bass has been pastor of the congregation since it was formed five years ago, and now most of the church's services are conducted in Spanish.

R

member since 1970, was ordained by Mr. Nieto to serve as deacon for the congre-gation there. Mr. Joseph and his wife Marie are both natives of the island and have six sons and one daughter. Carlos Nieto

The CALGARY, Alta., North The CALCARY, Alta., North congregation ralled around pastor Neil Earle throughout January and February, resulting in a successful public Bible lec-ture Feb. 16. Newspaper, radio and rele-vision ads for the lecture were subsidized by about 52,000 netted from members' flea markets, bottle drives, paper drives and special work projects. An audience that included 150 nonmembers heard Mr. Earle speak in the Social Room of the Jubilee Auditorium about the "Middle East in Prophecy." Copies of the article by the same name were picked up even East in Propnecy. Copies of the anche by the same name were picked up even before the lecture began, along with 50 copies of "The Key to the Book of Rev-lation." After the lecture inquisitive lis-teners inundated the literature table with requests and questions, and Mr. Earle was bombarded (almost literally) by five Arab bombarded tamost iteratify of the Atao sympathizers of the Palestine Liberation Organization. Mr. and Mrs. Mel Chris-tianson, longtime members of the Calgary congregation, presented a vocal selection before the main message. Victor Horn-backer becke

The ADA, Okla., brethren held their second annual potluck dinner, talent show and fun night March 10, with games for and tun night March 10, with games tor the young people and bings for all ages. The evening featured Steve Kirk as a "streaker" in red flannel underwear, the whistling navel of Pat Haines, a poetry reading by Mary Shipley, a short song attempted by Charlie Rowland and vari-ous into actions. Marties of accomposite ous joke ses sions. Masters of cerem were Terry Wilson and Les Speaks. Patsy

About 160 adults and children of the BETHLEHEM, Pa., church remained after the Sabbath service March 10 for a beef-barbecue supper and an entertain-ment-program, Before and after the meal, brethren watched educational mo about African wildlife, beavers and the manufacturing of steel. Music for dancing and listening was provided by the church's band. The Good Times, with Wayne Achey on drums, Paulette Crawford on electric piano, Warland Crawford on electric bass, Jeanne Guleke on guitar; Stuart Knerr on electric guitar and Barry Kroninger on horn. Janice and Donald Kroninger on norm. Jamce and Lonato Kinsell on drums and bass presented an old rock-and-roll favorite, Stuart Bragg sang "Heartbreak Hotel" for his scream-ing fans, and Diane and John Cressman did their smallest-man-in-the-world act.

Gordon Long. The talent of the LEEDS and HULL, The talent of the LEEDS and HULL, England, churches joined together the evening of March 10 at Leeds to entertain the combined congregations at the last social of the winter. After a sermon by George Hinds of the Newcastle church, the Leeds ladies laid out a buffet meal. the Leeds laddes ladd out a butter meal. Entertainment commenced with a group of members led by Alan Taylor singing in a barbershop quartet, followed by a selec-tion of songs from the children, songs from Gibbert and Sullivan musicals, and from valueer and sultivan musicals, and Ken Wallace's comic impersonations of television personalities. A particular favorite was the poetry recital given by Leeds local elder Bernard Dowson. In conclusion everyone joined in singing popular songs to the accompaniment of Paulo Baulies at the piene. Research 4 Ruth Bayliss at the piano. Rosemary J. Beck

Beck. More than 100 members and their families of the MURFREESBORO, Tenn., church and some visitors from Nashville enjoyed a social the evening of March 10 at Smyrna City Hall. The social featured a Walt Disney movie. Everett Carbin Corbin.

Brethren from the length and breadth of Maine gathered at the potluck supper and social held by the AUGUSTA, Maine, congregation March 10. With lots to eat, live and recorded music, informal disco dance lessons and some folk dancing, brethren spent an enjoyable time togeth Radd Zedrik.

"Something for everyone" was the order of the evening as the PALMER and ANCHORAGE, Alaska, brethren con-verged on Settler's Bay for a semiformal dinner-dance March 10. After the Sabbath service on the ground level of the building, the brethren followed their buffet awaited them. A breathtaking view of the snow-covered Chugach Mountains made a perfect setting for the meal. Then made a perfect setting for the meal, steam the group migrated to the third floor, where people from 3 to 73 did their own versions of the waltz, cha-cha, disco, swing, fox trot, polka and schottische. At one point Dorene Eckman directed everyone in the Iraseli folk dance the everyone in the Israeli folk dance, the hora. Linda Orchard.

The ST. PETERSBURG, Fla., breth ren, along with those from the FORT MYERS church, gathered for a pollock picnic at the Oscar Scherer State Park, picnic at the Oscar Scherer State Park, south of Sansota, March 18, enjoying spring temperatures in the 80s. Guests were seven members of the Manatee Convalescent Home, who were uplifted and impired to be able to enjoy the serene and peaceful setting. Their transportation was provided by the Church members. Lavene L. Vorel

About 200 j-cople attended the formal diane, ince of the VANCOUVER and SURREY, B.C., churches March 3 in nearby Burnaby. The evening began with nearby surnaby. In evening began with a smorgashord meal provided by the churches' ladies, then the Brick Hender-son Orchestra, a local group, provided a variety of dance music to suit every mood and taste. During the evening Vancouver pastor George Lee drew numbers for the

pastor George Lee drew numbers for the door prizes, presenting prizes to 12 peo-ple. Lorna A. Lukinuk. Mr. and Mrs. Vess Townsend were hosts for an old-fashioned hayride and wiener roast for members of the VISALIA, Calif., church March 10. About 100 parents, teens and children at-tended the event, later enjoying a sing-along together. Sharyl Justice. WOODBRIDGE, N.J., brethren at-

tended another of their bimonthly family gym nights March 10. During the winter gym nights March 10. During the winter months they are afforded he beated facilities of the Kawami Junior High School gymnasium to work out the winter doldrums. For the price of \$2 for each family and \$1 for singles, the evening is family and 31 for singles, the evening is open to all friends and relatives to enjoy family-style volleyball, basketball, and such games as tag and relay races for the younger children. Mr. and Mrs. Karl Klink, Woodbridge members, annually make the arrangements with the school A.L. Legg.

The unexpected appearance of the Am-bassador College Chorale surprised members of the SAN DIEGO, Calif., and the second secon approval was expressed by a prolonged and enthusiastic ovation. Susan Karoska,

approval was expressed by a prointiged and enthusiatic ovation. Szam Karoska. The PASADENA Spanish church en-joyed another of its successful picnic-brunch outings held the first Sunday of each month, this one attended by about 150 brethren at *et parque* Eaton in Prandena' under clear, blue skies and temperatues in the 80s March 4. First ar-rivals set up camp stoves and coffeepots, and others arrived with a large pot of menudo (a Mexican soup), tortillas, chorizo (beed), orange juice and other sumptuous aliments. The smell of a Mex-ican restaurant permeted the airea as the food was prepared. The park has ample playground equipment for the children and areas for playing tennis, *Jufol*, basketball, baseball and volleyball for the baskciball, cascball and volleyball for the more energetic brethren. Others opted for tranquil games of cards or checkers or read the Sunday newspaper. A highlight of the outing was the tradi-tional filling and breaking the pinata, a

tional filling and breaking the pinata, a stuffed papier-mache, animal-shaped doll that is hung from a tree and moved about with ropes by two men. The children are biindfolded, given a sitck, turned in cir-cles quickly to create a slight sense of disorientation and then let go to find and hit the pinata. After it is hit and broken, out spill the many surprises that are



OCTOGENARIAN HONORED - Earl Hart, 89, a longtime member of the Parkersburg, W.Va., congregation, looks over the shirt, slippers, winter jacket, slow cooker and bottle of fine wine presented to him by members of the congregation at a polluck dinner Feb. 10. [Photo by Ira Barnett]

natched up by all the children. Renee Lopez.

CLUB MEETINGS

The cookbooks prepared by the MEMPHIS, Tenn., SHE (Southern Homemakers Extension) Club were offered for sale at a church social Feb. 24. The Memphis ladies gathered the recipes from their families and friends, then com-piled them and had them printed locally. Alex Shore.

Alex Shore. Twenty-three ladies of the KENOSHA, Wis., Women's Club heard pastor Mike Hanisko speak on "Leader-ship in the Fenninien Role" at the club meeting March 11 in the home of Sandi Rendall. Houtess Marino Chandler intro-duced the guest speaker and conducted the business meeting, during which the women discussed plans for a bazaar, a garage sale and a formal dance. Cohostess Donna Baker arranged the club's lun-cheon. Consi McClure.

SENIOR ACTIVITIES

The SPRINGFIELD, Ill., 60-Plus The SPRINGPIELD, III., 00-Fus Club resumed regular monthly meetings March 11 after a respite during the winter months. Deaconess Bea Harmon super-vised the potluck meal, then club director Jess McClain called the meeting to order. The club members unanimusily arreed to The club members unanimously agreed to meet together for a covered-dish meal on the Night to Be Much Remembered. Mrs. the Night to Be Much Remembered. Mis-George Mecker, who has organized the church's children's chorale, commented that the children would be asking ques-tions of the senior members and possibly for a picture⁶ with one of the 60-Plus members. Thes Seniorfield neutor ers. Then Springfield pastor The senior citizens of the CHATTANOOGA, Tenn., congrega-tion were guests of honor at a candi-light dinner sponsored by the church's Young Adults' Club after the Sabbath service March 3. Forty-one people at-tended the event. After-dinner activities included a white-leephant gift exchange and musical chairs. The two groups hole to make such set-togethers a results. to make such get-togethers a regular event. Beverly Bozeman.

George Meeker held an afternoon Bible study, expounding Matthew 5. Polly Rose.

SINGLES

SCENE

YOUTH ACTIVITIES

Teens of the ROCHESTER, N.Y., Teens of the ROCHESTER, N.Y., YOU chapter invited several patients with multiple sclerosis from a community hos-pital to dinner at the Glenwood Gardens Recreation Center March 18. The patients eagerly look forward to monthly dinners such as this one, which are arranged for by volunteers. Thirteen patients arrived in a wheelchair van at the recreation center. a wheelchair van at the recreation center. The teens served them a connel-beef dim-ner and presented an entertainment pro-gram of instrumental solos and several numbers sung by the YOU choir, which was accompanied by Kim Mitchell on the piano. After conversing with the patients and helping them with their needs the teens falt they had a better understanding of the patient's feelings and problems and of their strength of character and determi-nation. The teens commented that they nation. The teens commented that they were more aware of their own blessings as a result of participating in this eye-(See CHURCH NEWS, page 15)



TRIREGIONAL CHAMPIONS - Left: The Brooklyn-Queens, N.Y. Stars bas ketball team, the coaches and the advisers gather for a group shot after capturing the Northeast YOU triregional championship in Hershey, Pa., March Right: The Greensboro, N.C., Blazerettes took top honors in the triregional cheerleading competition. (See "Sports," page 15.) [Photos by Norm Champagne and Stan Daniels.).



CHURCH NEWS

(Continued from page 14) opening community-service project. Jake

opening community-servec project rate Hannoid. MEMPHIS, Tean., YOU members presented a night of entertainment to brethren Feb. 24, beginning with a dinner of chili, bot dogs and beverages. All the preparation and serving of the 185 bowls of chili and 245 hot dogs was done by the



CODED MESSAGE - Kent Fentress, minister and director of the Grande Prairie, Alta., Spokesman Club, holds a message produced by club president Gerhard Richter at the ladies' night Feb. 17. After none were able to figure out the puzzling script, Mr. Richter provided the translation: "See, Willie, there they go,/ Thousand buses in a row./ No, Joe them's trucks,/ Some with cows and some with ducks." [Photo by H. Schwanke]

teens. Then an entertain ent com teens. Then an entertainment committee featuring Bill and Celia Wooten, Stan White, the Halmark Garage Bluegrass Band, Ivon Clark and Stormwarning (a rock-and-roll band) presented their acts with great showmanship. Seven cakes when great showmanship. placed in three categories in the cake con test. A blue ribbon for best-tasting cake was awarded to Tina Hunt for her cherrywas awarded to Tina Hunt for her cherry-chip take: "Mary Moore's cake placed second and Caldonia Bland's third. First place for prettiest cake went to Amy Wells for her butterfly design, followed by Celia Wooten and Mrs. L.B. Shore. A Removement of the start of the Shore A by Celia Wooten and Mrs. L.B. Shore, A flowerpot garden cake baked in a flower-pot by Juanita Hunt was named most orig-inal. Then the cakes were auctioned off to the highest bidder. YOU members quickly set up numbers for 18 cakes that were walked off in the cakewalks that followed, the last activity of the evening. Aler Shore. Alex Shore.

Alex Shore. Blue was everywhere in the color of the decorations at the CASPER, Wyo, YOU pofluck dinner and movie March 10. All of the brethren in the area were invited, and the women brought lots of good, steaming-hot food. During internission of the Wall Disney movie Hawmps, a cake with the YOU emblem on it, baked and decorated by Heidi Scieloff, was and decorated by Heidi Sieloff, was served for dessert. The event was organized under the supervision of Mr. and Mrs. Bob Johnson, YOU coordinators for the Casper area. Greg Shultz.

The youth choir of the ANNISTON, Ala., church sang "Onward, Christian Soldiers" for special music at the Sabbath service March 17. Roseanne Errwood directed the choir, and Patty Owens accom rector the choir, and party Uwens accom-panied them at the piano. That evening the teens sold deinks and desserts at a potluck supper for the combined Anniston and Gadsden churches. Square dancing followed, with pastor Bill Winner ac-companying the band on his mandolin. *Tiny Johnson.*

Youth day was March 3 for the DETROIT West and ANN ARBOR, Youth day was March 3 for the DETROIT West and ANN ARBOR, Mich., YOU chapter, and the Sabbath service was in the hands of the itenas. YOU president Leonard Martin gave the sermonette, speaking about his experi-ences at the International Youth Conference in Pasadena, then Earl Williams, district director of the YOU and pastor of the Detroit East church, gave the sermon, discussing childraraing and teenagers. A youth program followed the service, be-ginning with the YOU tens singing "Lei There Be Peace on Earth." Then speakers discussed the YES program, the children's camp and the YOU. The two cheerleading squads performed, and both of the bask-toal leanes, Dyn-omite and Magie, received recognition for their hard work. The program closed with both the teens and the congregation singing "'America, the Beautiful." After the program all gathered for a potluck dinner and fellowship. Deanne Martin. The drive was long, the weather was cold and conditions were crowded, as the

The drive was long, the weather was cold and conditions were crowded, as the MONTPELIER, VI., YOU members migrated north to Burlington Saturday evening, Feb. 17. So it was no surprise evening, red. 17. So it was no surprise that most of them were hungry. Nutrition and a balanced diet were no object as they feasted at a handy Burger King. One gift claimed to have found a vitamin in ber apple pie, but that didn't stop her from apple pie, but that didn't stop her from enjoying it. The main attraction of the evening was a roller disco. Having been warned previously by a cassette tape from the YOU conference not to listen too closely to the words in songs, the teens instead concentrated all their efforts on the rhythms. Next morning was the church's snow party. The sun was bright and spirits were high. Jolene Petersnon dis-ribilited indica of her cowe making a mixand spirits were high. Jolene Peterson dis-tributed spirits of her own making, a mix-ture of warm burgundy and whole cloves in orange slices. Church brethren yiewed the Walt Disney classic, Snowball Ex-press, a week later, Feb. 24. P. Lagoy press, a week and M. Light.

"Sesame Street" was the theme for the HOUSTON, Tex., North Junior YOU costume party March 3. Each child chose his own costume, and to continue the ns own costume, and to continue the theme there was a large cake with charac-ters from the Secame Street series. Bingo, balloon relays and musical chairs were among the many activities enjoyed by the more than 50 children, parents and grand-parents who attended. Carl Haket. The VANCOUVER, B.C., YES

and spent a memorable day snowshoe hik-ing March 11. The 20 children and 10 adults rented snowshoes and started out on the easier cross-country traits. After lunch they headed for frozen and snow-covered Goldie Lake, returning on the more difficult uphill traits. Snowshoes got their name from the snowshoe hare, which has large furry hind feet to support its weight in the deep, soft snow. Lorna Lukinuk. adults rented snowshoes and started out

SPORTS

The GREENSBORO, N.C., church played host to the Mid-Atlantic regional



SPANISH OUTING - Left: Brethren of the Pasadena Spanish church enjoy a vigorous game of volleybail March 4 at one of their monthly picnic-brunch outings. Right: Janice Todd attempts the traditional breaking of the pinata, which is filled with treats and surprises for the children. (See "Church Activities," page 14.)

basketball tournament March 3 and 4. In basketball lournament March 3 and 4. In Division II competition, teams from Bluefield, W. Va., Pikeville, N.C., Butled itout, with the Bluefield team winning the championship. Lee Croyle was chosen most valuable player. The Greensboro Blazers won the Division I championship, most valuable player. The Greensboro Blazers won the Division I championship, besting the teams from Charleston, W. Va., Washington, D.C., and Char-lotte, N.C. Most valuable player was An-toine Luther. Greensboro also took the

bitte, N.C. Most valuable player was Antoine Lufter, Greensboro also took the bonors in the cheerleading competition, as the Blazerettes placed first, followed by Charleston and Raleigh, N.C. Also competing were girls from the Knoxville, Tem, Baltimore, Md, and Washington, D.C., churches, *Tracy Smith*. The Brooklyn-Queens Starts basketball for the Northest YOU tricgional tournament held in HERSHEY, Pa., March 17 and 18. Teams from nine YOU chapters representing 20 Wates and the District of Columbia competed. The Stars overpowered the Greensboro Blazers 65-56 in a closely fought champion-ship game, and the Akron, Ohio, team captured third place, followed by Youngstown, Ohio. The Blazers won the stars overpowered the Carest Stars overpowered the Carest Stars overpowered the Greensboro Blazers 65-56 in a closely fought champion-ship game, and the Akron, Ohio, team captured third place, followed by Youngstown, Ohio. The Blazers won the stars and the Akron Stars overpowered the Stars stars overpowered the Stars overpowered the Carest Stars overpowered the Greensboro Blazers 65-56 in a closely fought champion-ship game, and the Akron Ohio, team captured third place, followed by Youngstown, Ohio. The Blazers won the stars overpowered the Carest Stars overpowered the Carest Stars overpowered the Greensboro Blazers 65-56 in a closely fought champion-ship game, and the Akron Ohio, team captured third place, followed by Youngstown, Ohio. The Blazers won the stars overpowered the Carest Stars Star

sportsmanship award. Judges had to work hard to select the winning cheerleading squad. The Delaware Valley Warriors from the Philadelphia-area churches placed second behind the Greensboro girls. Squads from Buffalo, N.Y., De-troit, Mich., Charleston, S.C., and Wheeling, W.Va., also competed. Stan Daniels. Daniels

Men and YOU members of the JACKSONVILLE and GAINES-VILLE, Fla., churches got together for a day of basketball March 4 in Jacka day of basketball March 4 in Jack-sonville. The Jacksonville VOU B team started the action with a game against the Gainesville B team, downing them 44-28. High scoter for the winning team was Stephen Pollard. Then the men played a game, this time with Gainesville winning 80-70. Fans and cheerleaders cheered on the four teams. A disco dance brought an end to the day's activities. *Darryl White*. Members from the BUNDABERG, Australia, church met at the bachside village of Woodgate for a mixed doubles tennis tournament March 11. After some spirited play in the knockour rounds by minister Bruce Dean and his wife Jeanette and Barry and Sue Kenevan, it was left to local Woodgate members Walker and sonville. The Jacksonville YOU B team



Jean Redman and Richard and Chris Dwyer to fight out the final, with the Dwyers eventually taking the prize, a pair of gift towels. During the tournament the children participated in ball games, tugs-of-war and parints. Winners were Kim and Matthew Dwyer, Ray and Ken Man-itzky and David Kenevan, who won the cross-county. All were presented cash prizes donated by a local member, Miss L. Dyall. The bachaide barbecue was a fitting climax to an enjoyable day. R. Dwyer.

Dwyer. The ST. PETERSBURG, Fia., bowl-The ST. PETERSBURG, Fla., howl-ing team defeated the neighboring TAMPA church howing team by 9 pins in a three-game tournament March 10. The St. Petersburg howlers, who were ahead with 140 pins after the first two games, found themselves hanging onto a tenuous lead as Tampa won the final game with 131 pins. Richard Woodall and Jim Albritton of Tampa were tied for high game at 199 after the three games, then Mr. Woodall won undisputed claim to the high-game trophy by coming out on top in a one-ball roll-off. The two teams plan to meet every two

The two teams plan to meet every two weeks, alternating home sites. A revolv-ing trophy is also part of the winner's prize. Lavene L. Vorel.

CAMPUS NOTES AMBASSADOR COLLEGE ACTIVITIES

PASADENA - The Ambassador College seniors provided special din-ing arrangements for the college stu-dents, gave sermonettes in the three Pasadena ehurches and sponsored a free movie and a '50s-style sock hop on senior weekend here March 17 and 18.

The seniors served and waited on tables for a Sabbath brunch of sausages, eggs and pastries. At church services, seniors led

songs, read announcements, gave opening and closing prayers and gave sermonettes. Marvin Plakut, student body president, delivered the ser-monette in the Auditorium P.M.

church, Jim Hearst in the Auditoriu A.M. and Al Maggio at the Imperial

P.M. church. All brethren were invited that evening to the Imperial gym for a free showing of the film Jonathan Livingston Seagull.

Sunday evening the student center was converted into a dance hall filled with swinging and jitterbugging cou-ples in leather jackets and T-shirts, bobby socks and pigtails. There were contests for dancing, blowing the biggest bubble-gum bubble, balloon omping and other '50s-style games. Music was provided by the AC

band, Etc.





BONG SHOW - The second annual student production of "The Bong Show" was presented before an audience of Ambassador College students and brethren from surrounding churches in the Ambassador Auditorium March Ambassador Auditorium March 24. The judges, ministers Gary Antion, Bob Boyce and John Hal-ford, "bonged" three of the acts and awarded perfect scores to the and awarded perfect scores to the following three acts. Left: Chuck Fisher performs a stand-up com-edy act called "KRUD Radio." Below: An episode from "College Trek." Lower left: The "Four Ritards," a barbershop quartet declared the winner of the competition. The singers are Al Preston, Rob Gordon, Garry Shaeffer and Dave Myers, who was also the student producer-director of the show. Eve McNair, wife of Ambassador College Dep-uty Chancellor Raymond Mc-Nair, was program adviser, working closely with the acts and masters of ceremonies Paul Williams, hostess Dirje Childs and Donna Nirschl, director's assistant.



15

NEWS OF PEOPLE, PLACES & EVENTS IN THE WORLDWIDE CHURCH OF GOD

PASADENA - The Ministerial Services Department here has an-nounced the following list of men ordained as local elders:

16

Dave Molnar, Columbus, Ohio; Larry Freeze, Cincinnati, Ohio; Eldon Davis, Quincy, Wash.; Neville Fraser, Auckland, New Zealand; John F. Lord, Ipswich, En-gland; Graham Mitchell, gland; Graham Mitchell, Northampton, England; Edward J. Oettel, Pasco, Wash.; Wilfredo Saenz, Lima, Peru; and Bill Sydney, New Plymouth, New Zealand

Charles Dickerson, Las Vegas, Nev.; Ivan Sell, Fort Smith, Ark.; and Elliot Hurwitt, Providence R.I., have entered the noncareer ministry.

Herbert Magoon, Twin Falls, Idaho, previously reported as no longer in the ministry, has entered the noncareer ministry and is a member in good standing. Tom Williams, Gadsden, Ala., is no longer in inistry but is a member in good the r standing.

The following men are no longer in the ministry: Etienne Bourdin, Paris, France; Carl Franklin, Elkhart, Ind.; Kenneth Farrow, Cookeville, Tenn.; Gary Porter, Blackfoot, Idaho; Dennis Roberts, Garden Grove, Calif.; and Tom Steinback, Syracuse, N.Y.

* * * PASADENA - The Incredible Human Potential, Herbert W. Armstrong's book that was distributed to most members during last year's Feast of Tabernacles, is "about to hit the commercial book world" with its second printing, according to Publishing Services director Roger Lippross.

The book has been retypeset and will feature a royal blue cover in its second printing, Mr. Lippross said, adding that its publication is timed "for the big spring book-buying market."

* * * PASADENA - The Ministerial Services Department here has re-leased the following list of new churches and their pastors:

Brisbane, Australia, North and West, David Noller; Brisbane, Aus-tralia, South, Rod McQueen; Geraldton, Australia, Colin Jack-son; Merredin, Australia, Ken Lewis: and Wagin, Australia, Lloyd Longley.

Dunedin and Invercargill, New Zealand, Colin Kelly; Nelson, New Zealand, Colin Mason-Riseborough; Napier, New Zea-land, Gary Harvey; New Plymouth, New Zealand, Jack Croucher; Isabela, Philippines, Pacifico Mirto; and Quezon City, Philippines, Bienvenido Macaraeg.

The Moose Jaw and Swift Current, Sask., churches have combined and are meeting as the Moose Jaw church. The Tyler, Tex., A.M. and P.M. churches have combined and the Tyler P.M. church was canceled. The Winnipeg, Alta., North and South congregations have combined and are meeting as the Winnipeg West church.

* * *

PASADENA - The Ministerial Services Department here has released the following ministerial moves

John Ogwyn will pastor the Hous ton, Tex., North church, replacing Leroy Neff, recently named as Pasadena area coordinator. Don Mason will pastor the Tulsa, Okla., church. Replacing him as pastor of

the Coffeyville, Kan., and Joplin, Mo., churches is Vince Szym-kowiak, formerly in Pasadena on sabbatical

Richard Ames, formerly pastor of the Lakeland and Fort Myers, Fla., churches, is now a faculty member at Ambassador College. Bob Jones will pastor the Fort Myers and St. Petersburg, Fla., churches and Gene Balley will pastor the Lakeland and Orlando, Fla., churches

Craig Bachellor will pastor the Gold Coast, Australia, church. placing him as pastor of the Moose Jaw, Sask., church is Doug John-son. Terry Mattson will pastor the Hagerstown and Cumberland, Md., churches.

Ronald Miller is now pastor of the Grand Junction and Meeker, Colo., churches. John Moskel is temporary pastor of the Minneapolis, Minn. North and South congregations. Stan McNiel, formerly with the Festival Department, will serve as associate or of the Long Beach, Calif., church

Reg Platt will pastor the Boston, Mass., and Providence, R.I., churches. Bruce Anderson will pastor the Springfield, Mass., and Bridgeport and Hartford, Conn., churches. * * *

PASADENA - Welt Dickinson

head of the Spanish Work, and Robert Flores, pastor of the Pasadena Spanish church, left April 7 on a 44-day Latin American trip that will take them into Chile, Argen-tina, Peru, Brazil, Colombia, Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republic for the first time.

Mr. Dickinson said the trip will help Mr. Flores and himself to better understand the Work in those areas and enable them to work more closely with the ministry there in planning for future growth. He said he will have the opportunity on the trip to learn the needs of the Spanish-speaking members and how he can serve them better. Mr. Dickinson and Mr. Flores will

spend the Passover in Chile and the last day of Unleavened Bread in Argentina.

* * *

PASADENA - Ambassador College received an authorization to op-erate as a private postsecondary educational institution in the state of California, valid from March 20, 1979, to March 20, 1980.

This authorization to operate is issued in accordance with California Education Code Section 94310(c), which allows the college to offer nontheological courses and to issue degrees in California. State law exempts courses and programs that are restricted solely to theological instruction in the principles of the Church, e.g., the Diploma in Bibli-cal Studies and the Certificate of the Ministry programs

A physical review by a verification team impaneled by the superintendent of Public Instruction took place March 20 at 2 p.m. in the office of Deputy Chancellor **Raymond F.** McNair. The verification team con-sisted of Karl Kramer of the Office of Private Postsecondary Education d Dr. Don Grant of Azusa Pacific College.

Other college administrators as sisting Mr. McNair in the review process were Leon Walker, acting dean of faculty, Greg Albrecht, dean of students, and William Stenger, registrar and director of

By Jeb Egbert PASADENA — The sabbatical ministers basketball team toppled an Ambassador College men's dorm team, Grove Terrace West, third floor, to win the Ambassador Col-lege intramural championship here March 20. Before a sparse crowd, both teams were uncharacteristically cold in their field-goal shooting percentages. However, in the long run, it was the ministers' consistent ability to make their free throws that led to their win over the collegians.

The game opened with Colin Cato sinking two quick field goals to put the ministers out in front. But it didn't take long for the students to come back. Averaging 35.8 points a game, guard Kent Sutton chipped away at the ministers' zone defense. Even with the tenacio us sabbatical team defense, led by Lyle Welty and Steve Smith, Sutton connected on six field-goal attempts and sank four free throws for 16 points by halftime. However, the ministers led at inter-mission by 5, 37-32.

As the second half began, the ministers began to miss their former center, Vince Szymkowiak, reassigned to Coffeyville, Kan., and Jop-lin, Mo. The Grove Terrace West team, led by their two tall men. Dyle Koch and Randy Redel, began pick ing off rebounds right and left. Quickly the ministers employed a 'boxing'' tactic - as the ball would sail towards the hoop, Stan Watts, Bob Mitchell and Cato would "box out," attempting to establish posi-tion between their opponents and the basket.

Although the ministers were outrebounded 43 to 35, the tactic paid off. The lack of total domination in rebounding by the students, along with their inability to hit their foul shots, led to their demise. As'a team, the students only converted six of 18 free throws at a dismal 33 percentage rate, while the ministers, following the hot hands of guards Smith and Bob Boyce, sank 14 of 26 for a more respectable 53.8 percent. Smith and Boyce sank 13 of 16 foul shots beeen them, with Smith sinking nine of 11 and Boyce connecting on four out of five

As the final seconds ticked off, it was evident, especially with Suttor

By Moshe Ben-Simcha

JERUSALEM - Passover, 1979. is building up to be hot and danger-ous in the Middle East. The long-

awaited Israel-Egypt peace treaty has been signed. But this peace in our time (Jeremiah 6:14) may be over before the ink on the agreements is even dry. Israeli military commanders have been looking with alarm at the developing military buildup of the eastern front Arab states of Syria. Iraq, Jordan and Saudi Arabia, and the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO).

While the Palestine National Council (PNC) of the PLO met in Damascus Jan. 16, the Iraqi and Syrian ministers of defense, Adnan Khayrallah and Mustafa Talas, paid a joint visit to Syria's Golan Heights front against Israel. Since then, the Kuwait weekly Al-Nahda, quoting reliable sources in Damascus, re ported Feb. 2 that Iraqi units are nov being stationed there alongside Syrian forces.

How serious to Israel's survival would an attack be? I have previously written in The Worldwide News of the threat to Israel's breadbasket, the Jezreel valley, and to its national water carrier from the Sea of Galilee, the Kinneret, posed by the Syrian threat across the Golan Heights.

A grim warning

Startling and vivid support for this grim view comes from a paper pre-sented to the National Committee on American Foreign Policy in Washington last November by one of the world's greatest strategic specialists, former head of U.S. Air Force Intelligence, Maj. Gen. George Keegan.

Analyzing the 1973 Yom Kippur War, Gen. Keegan commented: "If the Syrian tank division commanders going through the Golan Heights had merely coordinated their attack (as helicopters with Soviet advisers over those columns were attempting to do), these tank forces would have been in occupation of Tel Aviv within 24 to 36 hours. I know of no senior Israeli officer today who was directly involved who does not agree with me on that question."

By 1985, Gen. Keegan warned, "the Arab nations will have more troops under military discipline and more modern weapons of war than all of the forces of Western Europe under NATO command." He predicted that: "The Arabs now foresee the need as well as the opportunity to destroy Israel by thrusting Iraqi, Syrian, Jordanian and Saudi tank divisions, supported by jet fighters, in one giant assault across the Jordan, through the West Bank and to the Mediterranean via Israel's narrow 15-kilometer waist."

Along with the basketball cham-

pionship, the sabbatical team won its division last fall in the intramural

football league, bowing out because the play-offs occurred during the winter semester break. Now, in

Southern California it's time to get

out the bats and balls as the college

softball league begins. With the ath-

letically inclined ministers in on sab-

batical this year, not many would be

surprised to see the men do as well on

the softball diamond.

Western interests by Yasser Arafat and the PLO.

After his victory, the Ayatollah Khomeini turned over the former Is rael legation in Tehran to the PLO The Ayatollah Khomeini has called for a jihad, a holy war, against Israel - the Jewish foreign body in the Islamic world - and his influence among Shi'ah Moslems (who make up most of the population of Iran and about half that of Iraq) is im-

Even before the Ayatollah Khomeini's Islamic revolution top-pled the shah and electrified the whole Arab world, a wave of reli-(See TREATY, page 13)

CHAMPIONSHIP GAME - Dyle Koch of the Ambassador College Grove Terrace West, third floor, dorm team takes a shot as two members of the sabbatical ministers' team defend. The ministers took the game and the intramural championship March 20. [Photo by Sheila Graham]

sitting on the student bench after five fouls, that the outcome of the game was inevitable. The ministers emerged victorious, 68-60. Sutton, as he had all season, led all scorers with 28 points. Smith, who led the ministers in scoring throughout the year and was second in the league, ended up with 21 points, while Boyce added 18 in a winning effort. Koch and Redel led all rebounders with 12 and 11 rebounds, respectively.

Ministers take intramural crown

seven of Iraq's 10 divisions from guarding their eastern frontier with Iran for use instead against Israel.

Arab buildup threatens treaty

Events in Iran bring this vision closer. The collapse of the shah frees

Ayatollah Ruholla Khomeini, fiery prophet of Iran's Islamic revolution, is a ferocious anti-Zionist who was warmly supported in his revolution against the shah and